

Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Annual Environmental Management Report -Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal 2022 - 2023



| Name of operation | Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal |
|---|---|
| Name of operator | Veolia Environmental Services (Australia) Pty Ltd |
| Development consent / project approval # | SSD 5585 |
| | Veolia Environmental Services (Australia) Pty Ltd |
| Name of holder of development consent / project approval | |
| Mining lease # | N/A |
| Name of holder of mining lease | N/A |
| Water licence # | N/A |
| Name of holder of the water licence | N/A |
| MOP/RMP start date | N/A |
| MOP/RMP end date | N/A |
| Annual Review start date | 29th of April 2022 |
| Annual Review end date | 28th of April 2023 |

I, Anae Ressos, certify that this audit report is a true and accurate record of the compliance status of Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal for the period 2022-2023 and that I am authorised to make this statement on behalf of Veolia.

Note:

a) The Annual Review is an 'environmental audit' for the purposes of section 122B(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Section 122E provides that a person must not include false or misleading information (or provide information for inclusion in) an audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an environmental audit if the person knows that the information is false or misleading in a material respect. The maximum penalty is, in the case of a corporation, \$1 million and for an individual, \$250,000.

b) The Crimes Act 1900 contains other offences relating to false and misleading information: section 192G (Intention to defraud by false or misleading statement—maximum penalty 5 years imprisonment); sections 307A, 307B and 307C (False or misleading applications/information/documents—maximum penalty 2 years imprisonment or \$22,000, or both).

| Name of authorised reporting officer | Anae Ressos |
|---|---------------------------|
| Title of authorised reporting officer | Environmental Coordinator |
| Signature of authorised reporting officer | Alan |
| Date | 28/6/2023 |



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Quality Information

| Details: | Prepared by: | Reviewed By: | Authorised by: |
|--------------------|---|---|---|
| Name/ Position: | Anae Ressos <i>BEnv</i> Environmental Coordinator | Nicole Boukarim <i>BEnvFor</i> Environmental Compliance Advisor (NSW/ACT) Kelly Gee Environmental Manager (NSW/ACT) | Bob Manevski Facilities Manager Banksmeadow / Port Botany |
| Signature | Ann | N. Boukan Hfrot | H |

| Company: | Veolia Environmental Services (Australia) Pty Ltd |
|---------------------------------|---|
| ABN: | 20 051 316 584 |
| Line of Business: | Resource Recovery |
| Facility: | Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal |
| Corporate Office Address: | Level 4 65 Pirrama Road, Pyrmont NSW 2009 |



Document Revision and Distribution Register:

| Rev | Revision Details | Issued to | Date |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 0.1 | First draft for internal review | NSW/ACT Environment TeamBanksmeadow Facility Manager | June 2023 |
| 0.2 | Second draft for internal review | NSW/ACT Environment TeamBanksmeadow Facility Manager | June 2023 |
| 0.3 | Final | NSW Department of Planning and Environment | June 2023 |



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Contents

| Quality Information | 3 |
|---|----|
| Contents | 5 |
| Executive Summary | 6 |
| Section 1 - Introduction | 7 |
| 1.1 Site Background | 7 |
| 1.2 Legislative Requirements | 7 |
| 1.3 Responsibilities | 8 |
| Section 2 - Environmental Monitoring & Management | 9 |
| 2.1 Terminal Monitoring Requirements | 9 |
| 2.1.1 Meteorology | 10 |
| 2.2 Air Quality | 13 |
| 2.2.1 Dust | 14 |
| 2.2.2 Odour | 14 |
| 2.3 Water Monitoring | 16 |
| 2.3.1 Groundwater Monitoring | 16 |
| 2.3.2 Surface Water Monitoring | 21 |
| 2.3.3 Leachate Monitoring | 26 |
| 2.4 Noise and Vibration | 27 |
| 2.4.1 Noise and Vibration Monitoring | 27 |
| 2.4.2 Vibration Monitoring | 28 |
| 2.5 Traffic | 28 |
| 2.6 Waste | 31 |
| 2.6.1 Waste Monitoring | 31 |
| 2.7 Pests and Vermin | 32 |
| 2.8 Complaints | 33 |
| Section 3 - Environmental Performance | 35 |
| 3.1 Previous Non-Compliances | 35 |
| 3.2 Current Non-Compliances | 36 |
| 3.5 Conclusion | 36 |
| Terms and Definitions | 37 |
| References | 38 |
| Appendices | 39 |
| Appendix A - Site Plan | 39 |
| Appendix B - Conditions of Consent Compliance Table | 40 |
| Appendix C - Odour Audit Reports | 41 |
| Appendix D - Pest and Vermin Control Reports | 42 |
| Appendix E - Complaints register | 43 |



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Executive Summary

This Annual Environmental Management Report (AEMR) 2022 - 2023 is the 8th report prepared to detail the environmental performance of the Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal (the Terminal), owned and operated by Veolia Australia and New Zealand (Veolia). This AEMR covers the period of 29 April 2022 to 28 April 2023 (2022 - 2023 reporting period).

Veolia has prepared this AEMR in accordance with Schedule 4, Condition 8 of the State Significant Development Consent (SSD) 5585 (the Consent) for the Terminal, as well as relevant legislative requirements and industry best practices.

This AEMR provides a summary of environmental monitoring conducted at the Terminal, if any non-compliances or other findings have been identified against the Conditions of Consent (Consent) during the 2022-2023 reporting period, and the corrective actions assigned.

No non-compliances were identified against the Consent during this reporting period.

Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Section 1 - Introduction

1.1 Site Background

The Terminal is located at 14 Beauchamp Road and 34-36 McPherson Street, Banksmeadow and is identified as Lots: A & B, DP 366725 and Lot 1, DP 435497 owned by Keith Engineering (34-36 McPherson Street); and part of Lot 2, DP 100686 (14 Beauchamp Road) owned by Asciano (Pacific National). A site layout and location plan is provided in **Appendix A**.

VEOLIA

The Terminal was granted approval under Section 89E of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act) on 28 April 2015 as a SSD, and is approved under the Consent to receive up to 500,000 tonnes per annum (TPA) of waste from the Sydney Metropolitan Area.

The Terminal commenced operations in September 2016, accepting putrescible waste from the Sydney Metropolitan Area, which is containerised and loaded onto rail wagons for transportation to the Woodlawn Eco Precinct (owned and operated by Veolia) in the Southern Tablelands (approximately 250 kilometres southwest of Sydney) for treatment, recycling and energy recovery. During this reporting period, the Terminal received a total of 340,104 tonnes per annum (TPA) of General Solid Waste (Putrescible) and General Solid Waste (Non Putrescible) as classified in the *Waste Classification Guidelines Part 1: Classifying Waste* (NSW Environment Protection Authority, November 2014). This equated to approximately 166 waste collection truck movements per day.

1.2 Legislative Requirements

The key legislative requirements governing the environmental performance and activities undertaken at the Terminal include the *EP&A Act*, regulated by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DPE), and the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (POEO Act) regulated by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), as well as their respective associated regulations.

Consent Conditions stipulate the requirements that need to be addressed to maintain compliance at the Terminal as detailed in **Appendix B**. This AEMR has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Schedule 4, Condition 8, as shown in Table 1.1.

In addition to the Consent, the Terminal also operates under the requirements of Environment Protection Licence (EPL) 20581, issued by the EPA under the POEO Act.



Table 1.1 - Consent Condition for the preparation of the AEMR

| Relevant Condition | Requirement |
|-----------------------|--|
| SCHEDULE 4 - EN | VIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, REPORTING AND AUDITING |
| Annual Review | |
| 8 | Within one (1) year of the date of this consent, and every year thereafter, the Applicant shall review the environmental performance of the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This review must: (a) Describe the development that was carried out in the previous calendar year, and the development that is proposed to be carried out over the current calendar year; (b) Include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the development over the previous calendar year, which includes a comparison of these results against; The relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria The relevant predictions in the EIS; (c) Identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance; (d) Identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the development (e) Identify any discrepancies between predicted and actual impacts of the development discrepancies; and |
| | discrepancies; and (f) Describe what measures will be implemented over the current calendar year to improve the environmental performance of the development. |

1.3 Responsibilities

- Environmental monitoring during the operational stage of the Terminal was undertaken and/or supervised by Sydney Resource Recovery Facilities technical support personnel Mary Wong, former Environmental Officer; and Anae Ressos, Environmental Coordinator;
- General environmental compliance was managed by Anae Ressos, Environmental Coordinator and Nicole Boukarim, NSW/ACT Environmental Compliance Advisor;
- Analysis of samples was performed at NATA accredited laboratory; Australian Laboratory Services PTY LTD (ALS);
- The Odour Unit Pty Ltd (TOU) was appointed to conduct independent odour audits for the Terminal.



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Section 2 - Environmental Monitoring & Management

2.1 Terminal Monitoring Requirements

The following sections detail the monitoring undertaken throughout the reporting period in accordance with the Environmental Monitoring Program as detailed within the *Operational Environmental Management Plan* (OEMP, 2019) for the Terminal.

The Environmental Monitoring Program provides details on all monitoring requirements of the Consent and other appropriate regulations to measure and assess the continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness of on-site environmental management measures.

Table 2.1 summarises the environmental monitoring conducted at the Terminal as per the Environmental Monitoring Program.

| Reference | Type of Monitoring | Frequency | Commentary |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Schedule 3 Conditions 36, 38, 40, 41 | Meteorological Monitoring | Continuous | Ongoing basis |
| Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) | Meteorological Monitoring - Wind | Continuous | Ongoing basis |
| Schedule 3 Condition 36 | Visual Dust Monitoring | Daily or as required | Ongoing basis |
| ОЕМР | Noise level checks on equipment | As required | Not triggered in this reporting period. |
| AQMP | Odour - Site Inspections | Daily or as required | Ongoing basis |
| Schedule 3 Condition 34 | Odour Audits | Six monthly | Audits completed on: 21 June 2022, 21 December 2022 |

Table 2.1 - Operational Monitoring Requirements



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

| Soil, Water and Leachate Management Plan (SWLMP) | Stormwater Discharge Monitoring | Daily during any discharge | Ongoing |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Schedule 3 Condition 10 | Groundwater Monitoring | Six monthly | Monitoring completed on: 31 October 2022, 27 April 2023 |
| Schedule 3 Condition 10 | Leachate Monitoring | As required | Not triggered in this reporting period. |
| Schedule 3 Condition 27 | Waste Volume Monitoring | Daily | Ongoing basis |
| Schedule 3 Condition 27 | Traffic Monitoring (Traffic flow and congestions) | As required | Ongoing basis |
| Schedule 3 Condition 27 | Traffic Spot Monitoring (On-site truck routes and driver management) | Weekly | Ongoing basis |
| Schedule 3 Condition 38 | Visual Site Inspection and Housekeeping | Weekly | Ongoing basis |
| Schedule 3 Condition 21 | Pest and Vermin Inspections and Placement of bait stations | Quarterly | Ongoing basis |

2.1.1 Meteorology

Monitoring meteorological data during this reporting period provided an understanding of the ambient air (such as dust and odour) and rainfall conditions at the Terminal, and was utilised to manage environmental performance, as well as investigate potential impact to nearby sensitive receivers.

Meteorological data is downloaded from the public weather station situated at the Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) Sydney Airport site (Station ID:066037), provided in 30-minute intervals. During this reporting period, meteorological conditions such as wind speed, wind direction and rainfall were monitored on an ongoing basis and/or when any odour complaints were received.



A summary of daily wind speeds and wind directions at 9AM and 3PM at the nearby BoM weather station is presented in Figures 2.1 and 2.2.



Figure 2.1 - Wind direction and speed data by percentage for 9AM this reporting period



Issue Date: 28/06/2023



Figure 2.2 - Wind direction and speed data by percentage for 3PM this reporting period

During this reporting period, between 9AM and 3PM the prevailing wind directions were Southerly, South-Westerly and Easterly. Wind speeds at 9AM were most frequent (approximately 50.14%) within the 11-20 km/hr range, whereas wind speeds at 3PM were most frequent (approximately 35.07%) within the 21-30 km/hr range.

Wind speed and wind direction data was used to investigate and respond to odour complaints in this reporting period (refer to **Section 2.8**) by determining the source and spread of potential odours travelling off-site, if generated from the Terminal.

Ongoing rainfall data was monitored to supplement stormwater system operation and collection of samples from the discharge point, as well as for general housekeeping management such as inspection and maintenance for stormwater pits. This is to ensure the operation of the Terminal is not causing any off-site impacts.



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

A summary of rainfall data at the Terminal during the reporting period is presented in **Figure 2.3** Overall, the average rainfall for the Terminal during the reporting was approximately 136.2mm per month.



Figure 2.3 - Monthly rainfall data during the reporting period 2022/20223

2.2 Air Quality

In accordance with the Consent, the Terminal has adopted performance criteria pertaining to dust and odour emissions which are summarised in Section 2.2.1 and Section 2.2.2 respectively.

Air quality monitoring was carried out as required to determine whether activities conducted at the Terminal impacted ambient air quality. Further details regarding air quality monitoring and management practices undertaken at the Terminal are provided in the following sections.



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

2.2.1 Dust

Potential dust impacts arising from operations at the Terminal were assessed against the EPA air quality dust emissions criteria which were identified in the *Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal Environmental Impact Statement* (EIS) prepared by Hyder Consulting Environmental (Hyder, 2014).

The EIS concluded that the key potential impact from dust associated with operations at the Terminal would likely be due to the emissions of small diameter particulate matter (PM10). Despite this, the EIS found that there would be negligible impact of PM10 particulates (i.e dust) at any off-site receivers, provided that reasonable dust controls are implemented.

To facilitate this, the Terminal has a dust suppression system within the transfer building to minimise the emissions of dust. Dust is also controlled through the operation of a street sweeper on hardstand areas around the site. In addition, visual inspections of dust generating activities at the Terminal are also carried out on a regular basis, augmented by monitoring of weather conditions.

No dust complaints or issues noted in this reporting period.

Long Term Trends

- This result is consistent with findings in previous years
- Dust emissions continue to be adequately managed on-site and off-site, no impacts have been detected since the commencement of operations in 2016.

2.2.2 Odour

The potential for odour emissions from the Terminal were also assessed in the EIS (Hyder, 2014). Results of the EIS indicated that when the implemented odour mitigation and management measures were in operation, odour emissions from the Terminal's operation would be below the odour emission criteria presented in Table 2.2. It was also found that odour impacts would likely not exceed these levels at any residential receptor.

Table 2.2 - Odour Emission Criteria

| Pollutant | Receptor | Criterion |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Odour | Residential Receptors | 2 Odour Units |

To achieve the odour emission criteria, the Terminal operates an air extraction system within the terminal building which was designed to both ventilate the building, and capture and disperse

Issue Date: 28/06/2023

odour emissions from within the building. In addition, containers used for the transportation of waste are fitted with activated carbon filtration systems on air exhaust vents.

VEOLIA

Routine odour monitoring is carried out in the form of weekly odour assessments along the Terminal's site boundaries which are conducted by on-site personnel, the results of which are recorded on weekly housekeeping checklists.

During this reporting period, two (2) odour audits were completed in relation to the Terminal by TOU, refer to **Appendix C** for odour reports:

- 1. Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility Odour Audit June 2022
- 2. Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility Odour Audit December 2022

Odour Audits

TOU's odour audit reports found the roof discharge stack to be operating at a favourable odour performance level. The most recent Odour audit was completed in December 2022 and the Odour audit report was issued to Veolia on 31st May 2023. The December 2022 Odour Report (**Appendix C**) found that all maintenance works on the building ventilation air extraction system at the Terminal since the previous June 2022 Odour Audit had been adequately undertaken, and the system is operating in a satisfactory condition. The Terminal was found to be well-maintained and the building ventilation air extraction system is operating in an effective manner. The report included three recommendations: continued maintenance checks of the roof discharge velocity sensor, proactive inspection of roof discharge fans by a mechanical contractor to investigate physical performance improvement and cleaning of ventilation air extraction grilles. The next odour audit is scheduled to be undertaken 4 July 2023 (delayed as advised by TOU due to rainfall around original schedule date in June).

The Terminal continues to implement an active service and maintenance program for waste containers and continues to follow odour mitigation and management practices.

Localised odour within the Terminal detected during the Field Ambient Odour Assessment survey is not expected to be problematic at nearby, off-site downwind locations.

Based on the results and findings documented in the odour audit reports from this reporting period, the Odour Reports concluded that the Terminal is operating in a manner that is unlikely to adversely impact the local amenity from an odour viewpoint under the measured and current operating circumstances. As part of Veolia's commitment to continuous improvement, the recommendations outlined in the most recent audit report will be implemented to maintain this low-risk rating.

Long Term Trends

The odour performance of the Terminal was mostly consistent with the previous reporting period or improved:

Issue Date: 28/06/2023

• Results of odour sampling collected during this reporting period indicate the odour performance of the roof discharge stack remains consistent with original design performance documented in the *Air Quality Impact Assessment* (Wilkinson Murray, 2014).

VEOLIA

- Smoke testing results conducted throughout this reporting period have consistently indicated that there are no other potential fugitive emission release pathways from the waste shed area, apart from the entrance doorway.
- The Terminal received a decrease in odour complaints compared to the previous reporting period. See **Section 2.8** for further details.

2.3 Water Monitoring

2.3.1 Groundwater Monitoring

Following the commencement of the Terminal's operations, the groundwater quality was tested in April 2017. These results are referred to as baseline levels which are provided in Table 2.3. In accordance with the Consent, biannual groundwater monitoring is conducted to assess potential impacts of operations on the groundwater quality.

| Monitoring Locations | Parameters | Range of Baseline levels | Frequency | Sampling Method |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| GW1, GW2, | Electrical Conductivity (EC) | 578 - 1150 µS/cm | Six | Grab |
| GW3 | рН | 7.27 - 7.31pH | montniy | sample |
| | Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 424 - 800 mg/L | | |
| | Nitrogen (Ammonia) | 0.33 - 1.37 mg/L | | |
| | Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | <2 - 8 mg/L | | |
| | Water Levels (Depth to Water & Depth to Base) | Metres (m) | | |

Table 2.3 - Groundwater Monitoring Program

Groundwater monitoring was conducted at three wells (GW1, GW2, GW3) in October 2022 and April 2023, this data was compared to baseline levels from GW1, GW2, and GW3, please refer to Figures 2.4-2.9 below for monitoring results.





BTTGW1



BTTGW2

BTTGW3

Figure 2.5 - Total Dissolved Solids trends in groundwater

TEM-41-1



Issue Date: 28/06/2023



Figure 2.6 - Ammonia trends in groundwater



Figure 2.7 - Electrical Conductivity trends in groundwater

TEM-41-1



Issue Date: 28/06/2023



Figure 2.8 -Total Organic Carbon trends in groundwater



Figure 2.9 - Biochemical Oxygen Demand trends in groundwater

TEM-41-1

Uncontrolled when printed



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Groundwater levels were between 1.09 m and 2.33 m (depth to water) indicative of the shallow water table at the site. BOD concentrations were relatively low and within baseline levels in all wells this reporting period and ranged between <2 to 3 mg/L and is demonstrating a decreasing trend from the previous reporting period.

pH in all three wells (GW1, GW2, GW3) demonstrate an increase compared to the previous reporting period and decreased towards baseline levels by the end of the reporting period. pH ranged between 7.07 to 7.63 this reporting period.

In GW1, GW2 and GW3, the majority of the parameters (TDS, EC, TOC and BOD have remained consistent and remained below baseline levels. Within GW3, ammonia increased higher than baseline levels in October (4.67mg/L, at pH 7.6) but had returned to below baseline levels by the end of the reporting period. A potential cause for this unusual increase was unable to be determined. Ammonia concentrations will continue to be closely monitored in the next reporting period.

Based on these groundwater analysis results, there is no evidence to suggest that there have been negative off-site impacts as a result of site operations. While it is noted that the ammonia concentration was elevated at GW3 in October 2023, ammonia is a non-persistent and non-cumulative product of both human activity and natural processes such as decomposition of plant material. There are no identified pathways to sensitive receptors, and use of groundwater for domestic purposes within Matraville is banned under the Temporary Water Restriction (Botany Sands Groundwater Source) Order 2018. It is also noted that the concentration of ammonia at GW3 had decreased to 0.05mg/L in April 2023. Ammonia concentrations at all groundwater locations were below baseline levels by the end of this reporting period.

Despite one elevated result of ammonia concentration at GW3 which has since decreased to below-baseline concentrations, groundwater monitoring results indicate ongoing housekeeping and maintenance of the Terminal are effective and there are unlikely to be off-site impacts from site operations

Long Term Trends

• Groundwater quality in GW1, GW2 and GW3 wells remain consistent with historical trends and baseline levels, with the exception of one outlying elevated result in October 2022 at GW3.

Groundwater results are made publicly available and can be accessed via Veolia's website in the following link: <u>https://www.veolia.com/anz/media/media/reports?publication_type=36</u>



2.3.2 Surface Water Monitoring

Stormwater discharge monitoring is conducted at the Terminal to monitor the effectiveness of all environmental measures to manage stormwater quality and infrastructure on-site. Stormwater monitoring is also undertaken to assess the performance of the on-site stormwater treatment system and whether stormwater flowing off-site could be contaminated as a result of operations at the Terminal.

The results of stormwater monitoring are assessed against discharge limits stipulated within the EPL 20581 which are described in Table 2.4 below.

| Table 2.4 - Stormwater Di | ischarge Limits |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
|---------------------------|-----------------|

| Parameter | Concentration Limit (100 percentile limit) | Frequency | Sampling method | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| рН | 6-8.5 units | Daily, during any | Daily, during any Grab sample * | Grab sample * |
| TSS (Total Suspended Solids) | 50 mg/L | uischarge event | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1 mg/L | | | |
| BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand) | 10 mg/L | | | |
| Oil & Grease | 10 mg/L | | | |

*Please note that condition M2.2 of the EPL was varied in November 2021 to amend sampling method to "Grab Sample", replacing "auto-sampler" as the sampling method.

No stormwater discharge monitoring was undertaken during this reporting period. The requirement to conduct stormwater monitoring was triggered this reporting period (due to occurrence of stormwater discharge), however due to a company restructure and changes to staff roles, this sampling was not completed. This has now been rectified and corrective actions have been implemented to prevent recurrence. This non-compliance will be reported to the EPA in the 2022-2023 Annual Return.

Figures 2.10-2.14 display the historical stormwater results from previous reporting periods.





Figure 2.10 - pH trends in stormwater discharge





Figure 2.11 - TSS trends in stormwater discharge





Figure 2.12 - Ammonia trends in stormwater discharge





Figure 2.13 - BOD trends in stormwater discharge



Issue Date: 28/06/2023



Figure 2.14 - Oils & Grease trends in stormwater discharge

Long Term Trends

- In 2018, following the recommencement of sampling from EPL Monitoring Point 1 after rectification works were completed in the discharge pit, stormwater quality results have significantly improved in all parameters (pH, BOD, ammonia, oils and grease and TSS).
- Stormwater system maintenance, frequency and effectiveness has been reviewed to further improve the system.

Surface water results are made publicly available and can be accessed via Veolia's website in the following link: <u>https://www.veolia.com/anz/media/media/reports?publication_type=36</u>

2.3.3 Leachate Monitoring

Leachate is defined as any water which comes into contact with waste or waste processing areas. Through the management of waste, leachate is released within the waste shed when waste is delivered to the Terminal. All leachate from the tipping floor and compactor areas, as well as wash down water are collected into two 32 kilolitre (kL) leachate storage tanks for off-site disposal.



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Leachate levels within the storage tanks are monitored by using a reference point on the containers, this determines when it is required to be pumped out and disposed of.

During this reporting period the off-site disposal facility did not require leachate quality data to be provided, therefore this monitoring requirement was not triggered as mentioned in Table 2.1.

VEOLIA

2.4 Noise and Vibration

2.4.1 Noise and Vibration Monitoring

Operational activities such as truck operations, plant and equipment at the Terminal act as potential sources of noise emissions which may impact nearby receivers. Noise modelling was undertaken as part of the EIS (Hyder, 2014) which predicted that the operational noise emissions from the Terminal would not generate noise emissions which would impact local amenities.

Despite this, a number of noise and vibration mitigation controls were implemented at the Terminal to manage potential impacts, such as: low speed limits on-site, scheduling of trains, minimising container movements, use of quiet/minimal noise plant and equipment, and driver induction program, these are further detailed in the Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP).

Based on the noise modelling by the EIS, the following operational noise goals were adopted for the Terminal which are provided in Table 2.5.

| Receptor Location | Amenity Criterion (LAeq, 15 min, dB(A) | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------|-------|
| | Day | Evening | Night |
| Residential Receivers | 50 | 40 | 37 |
| Industrial Receivers | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Commercial Receivers | 70 | 70 | 70 |

Table 2.5 Operational Amenity Noise Goals

An ambient noise assessment was conducted in August 2017 which indicated off-site noise emissions comply with the noise criteria.

In the event a noise complaint is received at the Terminal, the site will carry out noise monitoring if required, and liaise with the complainant until resolved. No noise complaints were received in this reporting period, therefore the Consent Condition for monitoring was not triggered.



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Long Term Trends

- Noise emissions have not caused off-site impacts, this has remained consistent since the commencement of operations in 2016
- Noise emissions continue to be adequately managed on-site through the implementation of mitigation controls outlined in the NVMP

2.4.2 Vibration Monitoring

Vibration impacts during operation of the Terminal were assessed in the EIS to be negligible and to pose no potential impact on sensitive receivers, buildings or the environment.

A vibration assessment was conducted in August 2017 which indicated vibration levels at residential receivers comply with the vibration criteria.

Noise and vibration mitigation measures have been discussed in Section 2.4.1. No vibration complaints were received for the Terminal during this reporting period therefore not triggering the requirements for additional vibration monitoring.

Long Term Trends

- Vibration emissions have not been identified as causing offsite impacts as no complaints have been received since the commencement of operations in 2016.
- Similarly to noise emissions, vibration emissions continue to be adequately managed on-site through the implementation of mitigation controls outlined in the NVMP

2.5 Traffic

A Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) was undertaken as part of the EIS (Hyder, 2014) to assess the potential impact of the Terminal on traffic and transport during its operation.

The TIA found that the Terminal would see up to 355 trucks per day for the delivery of mixed waste, and that there was a potential for nearby roads to be affected due to these truck movements. A number of mitigation measures were implemented at the Terminal to manage these potential impacts as detailed in the Traffic Management Plan and are provided below in Table 2.6.

Table 2.6 Traffic Control Measures

| Traffic issue | Control | Monitoring | Effective |
|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Traffic Congestion | -Site has adequate room for queuing on-site | Traffic Spot Monitoring (Onsite | Yes, no complaints have been made of trucks obstructing |



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

| | - If the above control fails then vehicles will be directed away from the site. Facility Manager will then advise to cease further deliveries to the site until problem has been resolved | truck routes and Driver management) | traffic movements of neighbouring businesses |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|
| On-site Truck routes | -Abide to speed limit onsite -No turns to/from Perry street at any time -No right turn from Beauchamp Rd between 6AM-8PM | Traffic Monitoring (Traffic flow and Congestions) | Yes, no complaints from surrounding businesses or residents |
| Driver management | - The induction informs customers of the site rules, weighbridge usage and site transport management procedures. Furthermore, clients must adhere to Veolia's standards of: professional conduct, workplace safety, drivers licence requirements, drug and alcohol policy. | Traffic Spot Monitoring (Onsite truck routes and Driver management) | Yes, there have not been any major incidents since the program has been implemented |

Monitoring activities conducted at the Terminal assist in measuring the effectiveness of these traffic control measures. No vehicles were observed using any unauthorised roads as stipulated within Schedule 3, Condition 29 of the Consent.

A total of 60,622 (truck) movements occurred during the operation reporting period which is equivalent to approximately 166 trucks per day. This is in line with the predicted truck movements of 355 trucks per day as described in the EIS. A breakdown of truck movements per month is provided in Table 2.7.

Table 2.7 Truck Movements during the 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 reporting periods



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

| Monitoring Period | Truck Movements 2021/2022 | Truck Movements 2022/2023 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 29 to 30 April 2021/2022 | 416 | 273 |
| May | 5107 | 4936 |
| June | 4981 | 5001 |
| July | 4284 | 5227 |
| August | 3715 | 5441 |
| September | 3809 | 5090 |
| October | 3930 | 5558 |
| November | 4307 | 5188 |
| December | 4983 | 5446 |
| January | 4599 | 4913 |
| February | 4377 | 4080 |
| March | 3846 | 5022 |
| 1 to 28 April 2021/2022 | 4736 | 4447 |
| Total | 53,090 | 60,622 |

Long Term Trends

- Truck movements have not been found to have resulted in off-site or on-site impacts since the commencement of operations in 2016.
- Potential traffic impacts have continued to be adequately managed on-site through the implementation of traffic control measures outlined in Table 2.6 and Traffic Management Plan.
- Veolia has lodged a consent modification request with DPE for transport of FOGO by road to a proposed facility and waste transport to Woodlawn Eco-Precinct by road as an emergency contingency in the event of rail disruption. This is expected to require an updated Traffic Impact Assessment to be undertaken if approved.



2.6 Waste

A Waste Management Plan (WMP) was prepared which details the control strategies and mechanisms for the effective monitoring and recording of waste at the Terminal as shown in Table 2.8.

Table 2.8 - Waste Monitoring Schedule

| Waste Monitoring | Type of Monitoring | Frequency |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|
| Waste volume processing • Storage on site | Waste on floor | Daily |
| Waste volume processing Annual limit | Tonnage data review | Ongoing |
| Waste Recording | Incoming Waste Processing | Ongoing |

2.6.1 Waste Monitoring

All waste received at the Terminal was recorded in the Paperless Weighbridge System (PWS) and the Systems, Applications and Products in Data Processing (SAP) software. SAP records vehicle registrations, the date and time of delivery, the gross and tare weight of the vehicle, as well as the nature and origin of the waste delivered by each contractor.

Visual assessments of incoming waste are conducted by weighbridge operators and assisted by close circuit television. These visual assessments were conducted to identify, reject and/or separate non-conforming waste upon its arrival to the Terminal. Waste is also inspected as it is tipped/unloaded onto the tipping floor.

Schedule 2, Condition 5 of the Consent stipulates that the Terminal must not receive or process more than 400,000 tonnes per annum (TPA) of putrescible waste and 100,000 TPA of non-putrescible waste. Veolia utilises the data provided by SAP to track and monitor the amount of incoming waste in accordance with the limits of the Consent. Refer to Table 2.9 for a breakdown of the classification of waste material received and processed at the Terminal during this reporting period and the previous reporting period. As noted in the table, all waste received at the Terminal is containerised for transfer to the Woodlawn Eco-Precinct.

Following a brief trial during this reporting period of transportation of FOGO waste to Topsoil Pty Ltd, Veolia lodged a consent modification request with DPE for transport of FOGO by road to a proposed facility and waste transport to Woodlawn Eco-Precinct by road as an emergency contingency in the event of rail disruption. MOD 2 (PMA-59402968).



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Table 2.9 - Received and processed waste for 2021 and 2022 calendar years

| Waste classification | Approved Limits (tonnes per annum) | Waste tonnes (2021) | Waste tonnes (2022) |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| General Solid Waste (Putrescible) | 400,000 | 305,468 | 334,514 |
| General Solid Waste (Non Putrescible) | 100,000 | 10,077 | 5,981.21 |

As shown in Table 2.9, The Terminal did not receive or process more than 400,000 tonnes per annum (TPA) of putrescible waste and 100,000 TPA of non-putrescible waste. No incoming non-conforming waste was recorded during this period.

Long Term Trends

• Since the commencement of operations the Terminal has continued to operate within annual waste limits.

2.7 Pests and Vermin

The management of pest and vermin at the Terminal was maintained through preventative and responsive mitigation measures as per the Landscape and Vegetation Management Plan appended to the Terminal's OEMP. Such measures included;

- Routine inspections of site by a registered pest controller
- Weekly Site Inspection Checklist completed to record site conditions such as evidence of vermin and pests
- Placement of rodent bait stations at various locations around the site

Pest control was undertaken by an external contractor (Expert Judgement Pest Management PTY LTD) during this reporting period. In total four (4) pest control service reports were completed during the reporting period, refer to **Appendix D.** Routine pest control service usually involves

Issue Date: 28/06/2023

an initial inspection of the Terminal buildings (site office, weighbridge office and waste shed), followed by any necessary treatment for rodents, cockroaches and spiders.

No pest and/or vermin complaints or management issues were reported during the operation of the Terminal during the reporting period.

VEOLIA

Long Term Trends

- This result is consistent with findings in previous years
- Vermin and pests continue to be adequately managed on site since the commencement of operations in 2016.

2.8 Complaints

A total of 7 complaints as shown in **Figure 2.15** was issued to the Terminal in regards to odour emissions during this reporting period. The odour complaints were received directly from IXOM who are located north-east of the Terminal with the exception of two complaints received through the EPA from an anonymous party. This is a decrease compared to the previous reporting period in which the Terminal received 12 odour complaints.



Figure 2.15 - Number of odour complaints received each month at the Terminal



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

As shown in **Figure 2.15**, there has been a decrease of odour complaints received since the last reporting period.

The odour complaints received on 19 May 2022 and 3 February 2023 were due to an off-site railway incident and unexpected track works, respectively. The incidents resulted in inability to remove waste on site, causing an uncommon amount of waste being stored at the site. These circumstances were proactively communicated to the NSW EPA, DPE, Council and neighbouring sites for the incident in February 2023. The EPA were also notified for the May 2022 incident.

Based on meteorological data in **Section 2.1.1**, the prevailing wind directions were southerly and south-westerly. As IXOM is located north-east of the Terminal, wind directions are not consistent with odours coming from the Terminal at the time that complaints were received.

Following the receipt of the odour complaints received:

- 1. The Terminal investigates potential causes of odour emission and implements corrective actions if necessary, to reduce odour emissions such as adjustment of fan extraction system speed setting;
- 2. The Site Manager communicates any findings and corrective actions taken on the site with the complainant. Any information (or action to be undertaken) requested by the EPA is also communicated;
- 3. Meteorological wind data is downloaded from the BoM website;
- 4. Details of the complaint and wind data are logged in the BTT Complaints Register (**Appendix E**).

Section 3 - Environmental Performance

The environmental performance of the Terminal is assessed through the results of environmental monitoring, inspections and audits, both internal and external. Corrective actions are then assigned for any non-compliances or other findings identified against the Consent Conditions in this reporting period.

VEOLIA

- Groundwater quality has remained fairly consistent and within respective limits, with the exception of seasonal fluctuations.
- Stormwater will be monitored and reported in the next AEMR.
- Air quality, with regards to dust continues to be well-managed with no onsite or offsite impacts or dust-related complaints received by the Terminal. Odour complaints have decreased during this reporting period from the previous reporting period, following an identified fault in the exhaust stack velocity sensor and two off-site railway incidents which impacted normal operations at the site. Odour audit findings have concluded that overall, the Terminal is operating in a manner that is unlikely to adversely impact the local amenity from an odour viewpoint under the measured and current operating circumstances.
- General Solid Waste (Putrescible and Non-Putrescible) volumes have not exceeded annual waste tonnage limits.
- No complaints have been made in relation to noise and vibrations, and traffic which indicates implemented mitigation controls are effective.
- Pests and vermin are adequately controlled, no major issues have been identified since the commencement of operations in 2016.

3.1 Previous Non-Compliances

No non-compliances were identified against the Consent Conditions in the previous IEA (2022). As detailed in the previous AEMR, two non-compliances against the EPL conditions were identified regarding exceedance of water quality concentration limits of stormwater discharge and emission of potentially offensive odour based on the receipt of a number of odour complaints. These non-compliances were addressed by corrective actions recommended by the Auditor.



3.2 Current Non-Compliances

No non-compliances were identified against the Consent Conditions during this reporting period.

In addition to the above, continual improvement is important to Veolia to ensure its business is operating effectively and efficiently, this includes addressing any complaints received by the site and any EPL non-compliances identified in the upcoming Annual Return

3.5 Conclusion

In this reporting period, the environmental monitoring results and audits have demonstrated that implemented mitigation controls are generally effective in managing potential environmental impacts associated with air quality, noise and vibration, water quality, traffic, and pest and vermin.

Feedback from neighbouring businesses and monitoring quality results indicate that Veolia continues to implement, maintain, monitor and assess environmental initiatives at the Terminal to improve its environmental performance.

Continual improvement is important to Veolia to ensure its business is operating effectively and efficiently while successfully managing all potential environmental risks. Veolia is committed to correcting non-compliances and acting on recommendations made by auditors regarding opportunities for improvement, particularly around odour management and changes in water quality.


Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Terms and Definitions

| Term | Definition |
|----------|---|
| AEMR | Annual Environmental Management Report |
| ALS | Australian Laboratory Services PTY LTD |
| AQMP | Air Quality Management Plan |
| втт | Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal |
| Consent | Development Consent SSD 5585 |
| DPE | NSW Department of Planning and Environment |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| EP&A Act | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act1979 (and associated Regulations) |
| EPA | NSW Environment Protection Authority |
| EPL | Environment Protection Licence |
| IEA | Independent Environmental Audit |
| NVMP | Noise and Vibration Management Plan |
| OEMP | Operational Environmental Management Plan |
| POEA Act | Protection of the Environment Act 1997 (and associated Regulations) |
| SAP | Systems, Applications and Products in Data Processing |
| SWLMP | Soil, Water and Leachate Management Plan |
| ТМР | Traffic Management Plan |
| ΤΟυ | The Odour Unit PTY LTD |
| Terminal | Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal |
| ТРА | Tonnes per annum |
| Veolia | Veolia Australia and New Zealand |

Uncontrolled when printed



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

WMP

Waste Management Plan

References

- 1. EPA (2015), Waste Classification Guidelines Part 1: Classifying waste, NSW Environment Protection Agency, November 2015.
- 2. Hyder (2014), Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal Environmental Impact Statement, Hyder Consulting, July 2016.
- 3. Veolia (2019/2020), Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal Annual Environmental Management Report, Veolia, June 2020.
- 4. SLR Consulting (2017), Noise and Vibration Assessment, August 2017.
- 5. Jackson (2019), Independent Environmental Audit Veolia Environmental Services Australia, Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal, May 2019.
- 6. Jackson (2022), Independent Environmental Audit Veolia Environmental Services Australia, Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal, June 2022.
- 7. Wilkinson Murray (2014), Air Quality Impact Assessment, Wilkinson Murray Pty Ltd, April 2014



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Appendices

Appendix A - Site Plan





Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Appendix B - Conditions of Consent Compliance Table



| Conditions | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| SCHEDULE 2 | 2 – ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS | | | | |
| Obligation t | o Minimise Harm to The Environment | | | | |
| 1 | The Applicant shall implement all reasonable and feasible measures to prevent and/or minimise any harm to the environment that may result from the construction, operation or decommissioning of the development. | The findings from this audit | None | Compliant | |
| Terms of Co | onsent | | | | |
| 2 | The Applicant shall carry out the development generally in accordance with the: (a) EIS; (b) RAP; (c) RTS; (d) management and mitigation measures (Appendix A); (e) site layout plans and drawings in the EIS (see Appendix B); and (f) conditions of this Consent. | The findings from this audit | None | Compliant | |
| 3 | If there is any inconsistency between the above documents, the most recent document shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency. However, the conditions of this Consent shall prevail to the extent of any inconsistency. | None | None | Not Triggered | |
| 4 | The Applicant shall comply with any reasonable requirement/s of the Secretary arising from the Department's assessment of: (a) any reports, plans, strategies, programs or correspondence that are submitted in accordance with this Consent; and (b) the implementation of any actions or measures | None | None | Not Triggered | |
| Limits of Co | nsent | | | | |
| 5 | The Applicant shall not receive or process more than: (a) 400,000 tonnes per annum of putrescible material; and (b) 100,000 tonnes per annum of non-putrescible material at the site. | Waste summary reports | None | Compliant | |
| 6 | The Applicant shall only receive, store, handle or dispose of General Solid Waste or other classes of waste that are authorised for receipt on site by an EPL. | Waste summary reports | None | Compliant | |
| Statutory R | equirements | | | | |



| Conditions of | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| 7 | The Applicant shall ensure that all licences, permits and approval/consents are obtained as required by law and maintained as required throughout the life of the development. No condition of this consent removes the obligation for the Applicant to obtain, renew or comply with such licences, permits or approval/consents. | The findings from this audit | Veolia has obtained the relevant licences, permits and approvals required to undertake the operational activities, including: - Environment Protection Licence (EPL 20581). - Approval of the Operational Environmental Management Plan and sub-plans | Compliant | | |
| Structural A | Structural Adequacy | | | | | |
| 8 | The Applicant shall ensure that all new buildings and structures, and any alterations or additions to existing buildings and structures are constructed in accordance with the relevant requirements of the BCA. Notes: Under Part 4A of the EP&A Act, the Applicant is required to obtain construction and occupation certificates for the proposed building works. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | |
| Demolition | | | | | | |
| 9 | The Applicant shall ensure that all demolition work is carried out in accordance with <i>Australian Standard AS 2601:2001: The Demolition of Structures,</i> or its latest version. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | |
| Operation o | f Plant and Equipment | | | | | |
| 10 | The Applicant shall ensure that all plant and equipment used for the development is: (a) maintained in a proper and efficient condition; and (b) operated in a proper and efficient manner. | The Auditors observed plant and equipment operated and maintained in a proper and efficient manner as far as could be practically reviewed during the Audit. | None | Compliant | | |
| Staged Subr | nission of Plans or Programs | | | | | |



| Conditions | of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transf | fer Terminal | | |
|----------------------|--|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
| 11 | With the approval of the Secretary, the Applicant may: (a) submit any strategy, plan or program required by this consent on a progressive basis; and/or (b) combine any strategy, plan or program required by this consent. | None | None | Not Triggered |
| 12 | Until they are replaced by an equivalent strategy, plan or program approved under this consent, the Applicant shall continue to implement existing strategies, plans or programs for operations on site that have been approved by previous consents or approvals. Notes: If the submission of any strategy, plan or program is to be staged, then the relevant strategy, plan or program shall clearly describe the specific stage to which the strategy, plan or program applies, the relationship of this stage to any future stages and the trigger for updating the strategy, plan or program; and There must be a clear relationship between the strategy, plan or program that are to be combined. | None | None | Not Triggered |
| 13 | The Applicant shall submit detailed design plans of the terminal building that are generally in accordance with the plans in the EIS (Appendix B) to the Secretary for approval prior to the issue of a construction certificate. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered |
| Protection of | of Public Infrastructure | | | |
| 14 | The Applicant shall: (a) repair, or pay the full costs associated with repairing, any public infrastructure that is damaged by the development; and (b) relocate, or pay the full costs associated with relocating, any public infrastructure that needs to be relocated as a result of the development. | None | None | Not Triggered |
| Dispute Res | olution | | | |
| 15 | In the event that a dispute arises between the Applicant and a public authority other than the Department, in relation to a specification or requirement applicable under this approval, the matter shall be referred by either party to the Secretary, or if not resolved, to the Minister, whose determination of the dispute shall be final and binding to all parties. For the purposes of this condition, 'public authority' has the same meaning as provided under Section 4 of the EP&A Act. | None | None | Not Triggered |
| Developme | | | | |



| Conditions of | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| 16 | Prior to the commencement of operation of the development, the Applicant shall pay development contributions to the City of Botany Bay Council of \$495,992.00, or an amount otherwise agreed with Council. Note: This contribution is subject to indexation to reflect quarterly variations in the Consumer Price Index All Group Index Number for Sydney, as published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics. | Evidence of compliance was documented in the 2019 Independent Environmental Audit. | None | Compliant | |
| SCHEDULE 3 | - ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE CONDITIONS | | | | |
| Remediatio | n | | | | |
| | Remedial Action Plan | | | | |
| 1 | The Applicant shall remediate the site in accordance with the approved RAP prior to the commencement of operation. Amendments to the approved RAP required as a result of further site investigations must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced expert and approved by the site auditor. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| 2 | Prior to the commencement of remediation, the Applicant shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Secretary that the RAP has been certified by an accredited site auditor. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| 3 | Prior to the commencement of any construction or remediation works, the Proponent shall engage a Site Auditor accredited by the EPA under Part 4 of the <i>Contaminated Land Management Act</i> 1997 to provide advice and statutory site audits throughout the remediation project and on completion of the project | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| 4 | Contaminated material encountered during construction work intended for off-site disposal at an appropriate EPA licensed facility shall be segregated and stored in a dedicated area on site until removal, to the satisfaction of the EPA. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| | Completion of Work | | | | |
| 5 | Upon completion of remediation works, the Applicant shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Secretary that the accredited site auditor has prepared a site audit statement and a site audit report which demonstrate that the site is suitable for its intended use(s). | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |



| Conditions | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| 6 | Within 3 months of the completion of the reinstatement of the site the Applicant shall prepare, in consultation with the EPA, and submit a Site Validation Report, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The report shall be prepared in accordance with the NSW EPA (1997) Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites and include but not be limited to: (a) comments on the extent and nature of the remediation undertaken; (b) sampling and analysis plan and sampling methodology; (c) results/interpretation and discussion of results; (d) results of any validation sampling, compared to relevant guidelines; (e) discussion of the suitability the remediated areas for intended land use; | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| Contaminat | ion | | | | |
| | Groundwater Treatment | | | | |
| 7 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Groundwater Monitoring and Treatment Program for the project, to be approved by the Secretary and Site Auditor prior to the commencement of construction. This plan must: (a) be prepared in consultation with the EPA and NOW; (b) detail baseline data on groundwater levels and quality; (c) include: groundwater treatment criteria; a program to monitor groundwater levels, flows and quality; maintenance program for the facility to ensure the on-going effectiveness of the groundwater treatment process; a protocol for the investigation, notification and mitigation of identified exceedances of the groundwater treatment criteria; contingency measures to address exceedances and issues with groundwater treatment options; and mechanisms to report results to relevant agencies. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| | Human Health | | | | |
| 8 | The Proponent shall ensure that all works are carried out in accordance with NSW Work Health and Safety Regulation 2011 and the requirements of WorkCover NSW. | This condition is not relevant to the Audit scope however the Auditors observed staff operating in a safe and proper manner as far as could be practically reviewed during the Audit. | None | Not Triggered | |



| Conditions | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | |
|----------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
| Soil, Water | and Leachate | | | |
| | Stormwater Management | | | |
| 9 | The Applicant shall: (a) design and install the stormwater management and collection system in consultation with the City of Botany Bay Council, generally in accordance with the conceptual design in the EIS and applicable Australian Standards and to the satisfaction of the Secretary; (b) ensure that the system capacity has been designed in accordance with the Blue Book Volumes 1 and 2B; (c) divert existing clean surface water around operational areas of the site; (d) direct all sediment laden water in overland flow away from the leachate management system; and (e) prevent cross-contamination of clean and sediment or leachate laden water. | Evidence of compliance was documented in the 2019 Independent Environmental Audit. | None | Compliant |



| 10 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Soil, Water and Leachate Management Plan for the development in consultation with the City of Botany Bay Council, NOW and the EPA and to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must be prepared and implemented by a suitably qualified and experienced person and be submitted for approval prior to commencement of construction. The plan must include: (a) a site water balance that: identifies the source of all water collected or stored on site, including rainfall, stormwater and groundwater; includes details of all water use on site and any discharges; and describes the measures that will be implemented to minimise water use on site. (b) an erosion and sediment control plan that: is consistent with the requirements in the latest version of the Blue Book Volume 1 and Volume 2B; identifies the activities on site that could cause soil erosion and generate sediment; and describe the measures that will be implemented to: o minimise soil erosion and sediment control structures and maintain these structures over time; e ensure that any topsoil stockpiles on site are suitably managed to ensure that the topsoil in these stockpiles can be beneficially used in the proposed revegetation and rehabilitation of the site. (c) a leachate management plan that: is consistent with the guidance in the latest version of the Blue Book Volume 1 and Volume 2B; identifies the activities on site. | The Auditors sighted the Soil, Water and Leachate Management Plan (Document Code: PLANSW-XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None | Compliant |
|------------|---|---|------|-----------|
| ©2022 lack | (f) a surface water, groundwater and leachate response plan that: includes a protocol for the investigation, notification and mitigation of any (f) some protocol for the investigation, notification and mitigation of any | | | |
| | | | | |

Protection – All Rights & Copyrights Reserved



| Condition | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| | exceedances of the respective trigger levels; and describes the measures that could be implemented to respond to any surface or groundwater contamination that may be caused by any development. | | | | | |
| | Water | | | | | |
| 11 | A Section 73 Compliance Certificate under the Sydney Water Act 1994 must be obtained from Sydney Water prior to the commencement of construction. | Evidence of compliance was documented in the 2019 Independent Environmental Audit. | None | Compliant | | |
| | Discharge of Water | | | | | |
| 12 | The development shall comply with Section 120 of the POEO Act, which prohibits the pollution of waters, except as expressly provided in an EPL. | The table contained in Appendix B summarises the audit results against the requirements of the EPL. | None | Compliant | | |
| | Groundwater Interception and Extraction | | | | | |
| 13 | The Applicant shall obtain the necessary water related approvals from NOW in the event that groundwater is likely to be intercepted or extracted during construction. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | |
| | Acid Sulphate Soils Management Plan | | | | | |
| 14 | Prior to the commencement of any site preparation or construction works on the site, the Applicant shall prepare and implement an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This Plan must: (a) be prepared in consultation with the EPA and NOW by a suitably qualified and experienced expert; (b) be approved by the Secretary prior to the commencement of any site preparation or construction works; (c) outline the preliminary investigations that have be undertaken to test for the presence of ASS in accordance the NSW State Government's Acid Sulphate Soils Manual (ASSMAC 1998); (d) detail the protocols to be put in place and followed in the event that ASS is encountered; (e) detail how the ASS will be tested, handled and stockpiled; (f) detail measures to prevent erosion and sedimentation of ASS; and, if necessary (g) outline how the ASS will be disposed of off-site (e.g. at a licensed facility). | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | |

©2022 Jackson Environment and Planning Protection – All Rights & Copyrights Reserved



| Conditions | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| | Bunding | | | | | |
| 15 | The Applicant shall store all chemicals, fuels and oils used on-site in appropriately bunded areas in accordance with the requirements of all relevant Australian Standards, and/or the <i>Environment Protection Manual for Authorised Officers: Bunding and Spill Management, technical bulletin</i> (Environment Protection Authority, 1997). | A double skinned above ground tank for bulk diesel storage was also observed by the Auditors. The equipment refueling and tanker unloading area had adequate containment / spill controls as observed during the site visit. The auditors observed a small quantity of rainwater ponding within the bunded area due to recent rainfall (refer to Figure F3 and F4 in Appendix F). Although the bulk diesel storage tank is double skinned, the bunding is intended for spill containment. The presence of this rainwater reduces the capacity of the bunding and increases the likelihood of rainwater entering the stormwater system. | Refer to Table 3.5 for recommended actions | Compliant | | |
| Waste | | | | | | |
| | Waste Storage and Processing | | | | | |
| 16 | All uncontainerised waste shall be stored within the building at the premises and all waste processing activities shall be conducted within the building at all times. | During the site visit, the Auditors sighted that all uncontainerised waste was stored within the building and all waste processing activities were conducted within the building at all times. | None | Compliant | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| 17 | To prevent unmanageable waste storage, the Applicant shall ensure that: (a) the storage of waste within the building shall not exceed more than 1,500 tonnes at any one time; (b) waste stockpiles within the building shall not exceed 4.5m in height; and (c) the container stacking height shall not exceed 3 loaded containers. | The Auditors sighted the <i>Waste</i> <i>Management Plan</i> (Document Code: PLANSW-XXX- XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. Waste stockpiles and container stacking heights were observed during the site visit. | The Operational Contingency Control Measures note the limit on the amount of waste permitted on the premises and includes measures, such as diverting waste to other facilities, in the event that the site cannot process waste and remain under the limit (e.g. due to an interruption to rail services). | Compliant | |
| | Restrictions of the Receipt, Storage, Handling and Disposal of Waste | | | | |
| 18 | The development shall ensure that any waste generated on the site during construction is classified in accordance with the EPA's <i>Waste Classification Guidelines</i> and disposed of to a facility that may lawfully accept the waste. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| | Waste Management | | | | |
| 19 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Waste Monitoring Program for the development to the satisfaction of Secretary. This program must: (a) be prepared in consultation with EPA by a suitably qualified and experienced expert; and (b) include a suitable program to monitor the: quantity, type and source of waste received on site; and quantity, type and quality of the outputs produced on site. (c) ensure that: all waste that are controlled under a tracking system have the appropriate documentation prior to acceptance at the site; and staff receive adequate training in order to be able to recognise and handle any hazardous or other prohibited waste including asbestos | The Auditors sighted the Waste Monitoring Program within the Waste Management Plan (Document Code: PLANSW- XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None | Compliant | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-------------------|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
| 20 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Waste Management Plan for the development, in consultation with the EPA and to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The plan shall: (a) be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced expert; (b) be submitted for approval by the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction; (c) include an asbestos risk assessment for demolition work prior to the removal of any asbestos from the site; (d) include final details of the waste management system implemented at the site; (e) ensure that appropriate waste storage facilities are included in the final design of the waste management system; (f) detail the type and quantity of waste to be generated by the construction and operation of the development; (g) detail the quality of waste to be received on site; (h) detail the procedures for handling, storage, collection of recycling and disposal of all waste in accordance with best practice industry standards and guidelines; (j) detail the procedures for the management of waste material, excluding recyclable waste, to ensure: the waste material is regularly removed from the site to an appropriately licensed facility; and any stockpiles of waste material are stored on sealed areas. | The Auditors sighted the <i>Waste</i> <i>Management Plan</i> (Document Code: PLANSW- XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None | Compliant |
| | Pest, Vermin & Noxious Weed Management | | | |
| 21 | The Applicant shall: (a) implement suitable measures to manage pests, vermin and declared noxious weeds on site; and (b) inspect the site on a regular basis to ensure that these measures are working effectively, and that pests, vermin or noxious weeds are not present on-site insufficient numbers to pose an environmental hazard or cause the loss of amenity in surrounding area. Note: For the purposes of this condition, noxious weeds are those species subject to an order declared under the <i>Noxious Weed Act</i> 1993. | The Auditors sighted Landscape and Vegetation Management Plan (Document Code: PLA-NSW- XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. Pests and vermin were not observed during the site visit. | None | Compliant |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | | |
| Traffic and | Traffic and Access | | | | | | |
| | Access and Road Upgrade Work | | | | | | |
| 22 | Prior to the commencement of operations, the Applicant must obtain approval for rail access from the Australian Rail Track Corporation. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | | |
| 23 | Within six months of the commencement of limited operations in accordance with Condition 234 the Applicant must complete the road upgrade works at the intersection of Beauchamp Road and Perry Street and the left turn deceleration lane into the site, in consultation with City of Botany Bay Council and Randwick City Council, and to the satisfaction of RMS and the Secretary. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | | |
| 23A | The Applicant may commence operations prior to the completion of the road upgrade works referred to in Condition 23 above provided the Applicant does not receive or process more than 18,000 tonnes per month in the period prior to the completion of the road works upgrades. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | | |
| 23B | During the reduced operation phase specified in condition 23A above, records of hourly truck numbers and their capacity shall be kept and provided to the City of Botany Bay Council when requested. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | | |
| 24 | Detail design plans for the intersection works referred to in condition 23 above, including Traffic Control Signal plans, must be prepared by a suitably qualified person in consultation with City of Botany Bay Council and Randwick City Council and submitted to the RMS for review and endorsement prior to the commencement of construction of the road upgrade works. The Applicant will be required to enter into a Works Authorisation Deed (WAD) with RMS for the works. The WAD will need to be executed prior to the RMS's assessment of the detailed design plans. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | | |
| 25 | The Applicant must be responsible for all public utility adjustment/relocation works, necessitated by the above work and as required by the various public utility authorities and/or their agents | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | | |
| 26 | All works/regulatory signposting associated with the development are to be at no cost to the RMS. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | | |
| | Traffic Monitoring | | | | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| 27 | The Applicant shall: (a) keep accurate records of the volume of waste transported to the site; (b) nominate a haulage route to be used by heavy vehicles accessing the site; and (c) make these records available in its Annual Review | The Auditors sighted the Traffic Management Plan (Document Code: PLANSW-XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. The Auditors sighted the following documents: - Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2018-2019 (dated 16 August 2019). - Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2019-2020 (dated 23 October 2020). - Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2020-2021 (dated 28 June 2021). | None | Compliant | | |
| | Operating Conditions | | | | | |



Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal

| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 28 | The Applicant shall ensure that: (a) internal roads, driveways and parking (including grades, turn paths, sight distance requirements, aisle widths, aisle lengths and parking bay dimensions) associated with the development are constructed and maintained in accordance with the latest versions of AS 2890.1 and AS 2890.2; (b) the swept path of the longest vehicle entering and exiting the subject site, as well as manoeuvrability through the site, is in accordance with AUSTROADS Guide to Road Design; (c) the development does not result in any vehicles queuing on the public road network; (d) a right turn restriction into the site from Beauchamp Road shall be implemented between 6am – 8pm; (e) heavy vehicles do not use Perry Street to travel to/from the site; (f) heavy vehicles and bins associated with the development do not park or stand on local roads or footpaths in the vicinity of the site; (g) all vehicles are wholly contained on site before being required to stop; (h) all loading and unloading of materials is carried out on site; (i) the proposed turning areas in the car park are kept clear of any obstacles, including parked cars, at all times; (j) all trucks entering or leaving the site are cleaned of dirt, sand and other materials before they leave the site, to avoid tracking these materials on public roads. | Site visit on 13 May 2022 | None | Compliant |
| | Waste Transportation | | | |
| 28A | The Applicant shall ensure that all waste containers are designed, constructed and maintained to prevent the emission of offensive odour and be water-tight to prevent the leakage of leachate during transport and handling activities. | Site visit on 13 May 2022 | None | Compliant |
| | Traffic Management Plan | | | |



Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal

| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
|----------------------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| 29 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Traffic Management Plan for the development, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The Plan must: (a) be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced expert in consultation with RMS, City of Botany Bay Council and Randwick City Council; (b) be approved by the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction; (c) include construction traffic management measures detailing: access and parking arrangements for the site during construction; measures to ensure that the local road network is not utilised by vehicles during construction; measures to control traffic movements from site during construction; procedures for notifying residents of construction traffic routes and potential disruptions to routes and access; and the impact of the development on the road network, where temporary road closures are required during construction. (d) include a plan showing the designated haulage route/s to be used by heavy vehicles during operation; (e) include a driver's code of conduct; (f) describe the measures that will be implemented to ensure: the nominated haulage routes are used; drivers adhere to the right turn restriction into the site from Beauchamp Road between 6am-10am and 3pm-7pm, as required by Condition 28(d); conflicts with other road users are minimised; drivers adhere to the code of conduct including; road noise impacts are minimised through measures such as limiting truck compression braking; and compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent. | The Auditors sighted the <i>Traffic</i> <i>Management Plan</i> (Document Code: PLANSW-XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None | Compliant |
| | | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| 30 | At least one month prior to the commencement of construction of the proposed development (except for construction of those preliminary works that are outside the scope of the hazard studies), or within such further period as the Secretary may agree, the Applicant shall prepare and submit a Fire Safety Study and a Hazard and Operability Study to the Secretary. (a) Fire Safety Study A Fire Safety Study A Fire Safety Study for the proposed development. This study shall cover the relevant aspects of the Department of Planning's Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No. 2, 'Fire Safety Study Guidelines' and the New South Wales Government's 'Best Practice Guidelines for Contaminated Water Retention and Treatment Systems'. The study shall be prepared in consultation with Fire and Rescue NSW and submitted to the Secretary. (b) Hazard and Operability Study for the proposed development, chaired by a qualified person, independent of the development, whose appointment has been endorsed by the Secretary prior to the commencement of the study. The study shall be consistent with the Department of Planning's Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No. 8, 'HAZOP Guidelines'. The study report must be accompanied by a program for the implementation of all recommendations made in the report. If the Applicant intends to defer the implementation of a recommendation, reasons must be documented. | None | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | |
| 31 | Dangerous Goods, as defined by the Australian Dangerous Goods Code, shall be stored and handled strictly in accordance with: (a) all relevant Australian Standards; (b) for liquids, a minimum bund volume requirement of 110% of the volume of the largest single stored volume within the bund; and (c) the Environment Protection Manual for Authorised Officers: Bunding and Spill Management, technical bulletin (Environment Protection Authority, 1997). In the event of an inconsistency between the requirements listed from a) to c) above, the most stringent requirement shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency. | Site visit on 13 May 2022. | None | Compliant | | |
| | Emergency Response | | | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| 32 | The Applicant shall maintain and implement an emergency response plan for the site. The emergency response plan shall: (a) be submitted to the Secretary prior to the commencement of operation; (b) be kept on-site at all times; (c) include a risk assessment of likely incidents that could occur on-site (e.g. spills, explosion, fire) based on the activities being undertaken, site risks and consequence to the receiving environment; and (d) document the systems and procedures to deal with the types of incidents identified including relevant incident notification procedures. | The Auditors sighted the Site Emergency Response Plan - Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal (incorporating the Pollution Incident Response Management Plan) (Document Code: MAN- 5174-1) dated 4 June 2021. | None. | Compliant | | |
| Air Quality | | | | | | |
| | Odour | | | | | |
| 33 | The Applicant shall ensure the development does not cause or permit the emission of any offensive odour (as defined by the POEO Act). | Complaints summary. Site visit on 13 May 2022. | Refer to Section 3.10 of body of report. | Compliant | | |
| | Odour Management Plan | | | | | |
| 34 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement an Odour Management Plan to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (a) be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced expert in consultation with the EPA and City of Botany Bay Council; (b) be approved by the Secretary prior to the commencement of operations; (c) describe the measures that would be implemented on site to minimise the odour impacts of the development; (d) identify triggers for contingency action; and (e) include a program for monitoring the odour impacts of the development. | The Auditors sighted the Air Quality Management Plan (Document Code: PLA-NSW-XXX- XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant | | |
| | Dust Management | | | | | |
| 35 | The premises shall be maintained in a condition which minimises or prevents the emission of dust from the premises | Site visit on 13 May 2022. | None. | Compliant | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| 36 | The Applicant shall: (a) implement best management practice, including all reasonable and feasible dust and odour mitigation measures to prevent and minimise dust emissions from operations; (b) prevent and minimise the air quality impacts of the development during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events; (c) regularly assess air quality monitoring data and relocate, modify, and/or stop operations to ensure compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent; (d) minimise any visible off-site air pollution; and (e) minimise surface disturbance of the site, other than as permitted under this consent. | The Auditors sighted the Air Quality Management Plan (Document Code: PLA-NSW-XXX- XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant | | |
| 37 | During construction, the Applicant shall ensure that: (a) all vehicles on site do not exceed a speed limit of 30 kilometres per hour; (b) all loaded vehicles entering or leaving the site have their loads covered; and (c) all loaded vehicles leaving the site are cleaned of dirt, sand and other materials before they leave the site, to avoid tracking these materials on public roads. | None. | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | |
| | Air Quanty Management Plan | | | | | |



| Conditions | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| 38 Noise | The Applicant shall prepare and implement an Air Quality Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The Plan must: (a) be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced expert in consultation with the EPA, (b) be approved by the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction; (c) describe the measures that would be implemented to: minimise the fugitive emissions from excavating, handling and treating contamination hot spots including details on methods for dealing with soil contamination variability; include well-defined triggers for additional air quality measures for excessive fugitive emissions including stop-work during adverse weather; ensure all reasonable and feasible dust and odour mitigation measures are employed to prevent and minimise dust and odour emissions from construction and operation of the development; ensure compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent and the EPL; and prevent and minimise the air quality impacts of the development during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events; (d) include a cleaning protocol which: details the procedures to be undertaken to routinely manage, maintain and clean the internal surfaces of the premises to ensure operating conditions inside the facility minimise the facility; and describes how all external surfaces would be routinely managed and maintained so as to be kept free of dust, waste material and other contaminants; and (e) include a protocol for determining any exceedances of the relevant conditions of approval and criteria in the EPL and responding to complaints. | The Auditors sighted the Air Quality Management Plan (Document Code: PLA-NSW-XXX- XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant | | |
| TOISE | | | | | | |



| Conditions of | Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|------|--|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | | | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
| 39 | The Applicant shall comply with the hours detailed in Table 1, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Secretary. Table 1: Operating Hours Activity Day Hours Construction Monday - Friday Saturday 8:00am - 1:00pm Sunday & Public Holidays Nil | | Site visit on 13 May 2022. | None. | Compliant | |
| | Operating Conditions | | | | | |
| 40 | Operating Conditions The Applicant shall: (a) implement best management practice, including all reasonable and feasible noise management and mitigation measures to prevent and minimise operational, low frequency and traffic noise generated by the development; (b) minimise the noise impacts of the development during adverse meteorological conditions when noise criteria do not apply; (c) maintain the effectiveness of any noise suppression equipment on plant at all times and ensure defective plant is not used operationally until fully repaired; and (d) regularly assess noise monitoring data and relocate, modify and/or stop operations to ensure compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent | | Site visit on 13 May 2022 The Auditors also sighted the <i>Noise and Vibration</i> <i>Management Plan</i> Document Code: PLANSW- XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant | |
| | Noise and Vibration Management I | Plan | | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| 41 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Noise and Vibration Management Plan for the development in consultation with the EPA and to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The plan must: (a) be prepared and implemented by a suitably qualified and experienced person in consultation with the City of Botany Bay Council, Randwick City Council and the EPA; (b) be approved by the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction; (c) describe the measures that will be implemented to ensure: best management practice is being employed on site; and the noise and vibration impacts of the development are minimised during any meteorological conditions; and compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent. (d) describe the noise management system; include a noise and vibration monitoring program that: is capable of evaluating the performance of the development; includes a protocol for determining compliance with the predictions in the EIS and RTS; adequately supports the noise management system; and evaluates and reports on the effectiveness of the noise management system; and (f) include details of short term vibration trials of construction equipment that are conducted in consultation with the surrounding landowners. | The Auditors sighted the Noise and Vibration Management Plan (Document Code: PLANSW- XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant | |
| Energy Effic | iency | | | | |
| 42 | The Applicant shall: (a) implement all reasonable and feasible measures to minimise energy use and greenhouse gas emissions during construction and operation; and (b) ensure the development will continue to operate at industry best practice over time. | None. | None. | Compliant | |
| Visual Ame | nity | | | | |
| | Lighting | | | | |
| 43 | The Applicant shall ensure that the lighting associated with the development: (a) complies with the latest version of AS 4282(INT) - <i>Control of Obtrusive Effects</i> of <i>Outdoor Lighting</i>; and (b) is mounted, screened and directed in such a manner that it does not create a nuisance to surrounding properties or the public road network. | The auditors sighted the Complaints Register. | No complaints have been received regarding lighting at the Site. | Compliant | |

©2022 Jackson Environment and Planning Protection – All Rights & Copyrights Reserved



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| | Signage | | | | |
| 44 | The Applicant shall install all signs in consultation with City of Botany Bay Council. Note: This condition does not apply to any signage identified as exempt or complying development in <i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes)</i> 2008 | None. | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| | Landscaping and Vegetation Management | | | | |
| 45 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Landscaping and Vegetation Management Plan for the development in consultation with City of Botany Council and to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The plan shall: (a) be approved by the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction; (b) detail any trees that are proposed to be removed, ringbarked, cut, topped or lopped; (c) detail any revegetation works at the site, with particular attention to minimizing the visibility of the site from residences and public vantage points, minimizing bushfire risk and the use of indigenous species; (d) ensure that any clearing or trimming of vegetation on the western side of McPherson Street, at the intersection with Beauchamp Road, is undertaken in consultation with City of Botany Bay Council; and (e) describe the on-going measures (e.g. weed control and regular pruning) that would be implemented to maintain landscaping and vegetation on the site for the life of the development. | The Auditors sighted the Landscaping and Vegetation Management Plan (Document Code: PLA-NSW-XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant | |
| Aviation Sat | fety | | | | |
| 46 | At least 35 days prior to the commencement of construction of the project, the Applicant must obtain all necessary approvals from the Sydney Airports Corporation for the erection of any temporary structure or construction equipment. | None. | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | |
| Heritage | | | | | |
| 47 | The development shall cease all works on site in the event that any Aboriginal cultural object(s) or human remains are uncovered onsite. The NSW Police, the Aboriginal Community and the OEH are to be notified. Works shall not resume in the designated area until approval in writing from the NSW Police and/or the OEH has been obtained. | Site visit on 13 May 2022. | None. | Compliant | |
| Security | | | | | |
| 48 | The Applicant shall ensure that: (a) the site is secured by a perimeter fence and security gates; and (b) the security gates on site are patrolled at all times. | Site visit on 13 May 2022. | None. | Compliant | |

©2022 Jackson Environment and Planning Protection – All Rights & Copyrights Reserved



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | | |
| SCHEDULE 4 | - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, REPORTING AND AUDITING | | | | | |
| Environmen | tal Management | | | | | |
| | Construction Environmental Management Plan | | | | | |
| 1 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Construction Environmental Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The Plan must: (a) be submitted to the Secretary for approval no later than two weeks prior to the commencement of construction or demolition or within such period otherwise agreed by the Secretary; (b) identify the statutory approvals that apply to the development; (c) consolidate all relevant management plans and monitoring programs required in the conditions of this Consent; (d) outline all environmental management practices and procedures to be followed during construction and demolition works associated with the development; (e) describe all activities to be undertaken on the site during construction of the development; (f) detail how the environmental performance of the construction works will be monitored, and what actions will be taken to address identified adverse environmental impacts; (g) describe the roles and responsibilities for all relevant employees involved in construction and demolition; and (i) include arrangements for community consultation and complaints handling procedures during construction and demolition; and (ii) include copies of the various strategies and plans that are required under the conditions of this Consent once they have been approved. Note: 1. Construction of the Development shall not commence until written approval of this plan has been received from the Secretary. | None. | This condition is not relevant to the current Audit period. | Not Triggered | | |
| | | | | | | |



| | | • |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| | | () Benders and an arrest was a few was to all |
| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 585 | 5 (Incorporating IVIOD) | l) - Banksmeadow waste Transfer Terminal |

| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
|----------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| 2 | The Applicant shall prepare and implement an Operational Environmental Management Plan to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (a) be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to commencement of operations; (b) be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced expert; (c) provide the strategic framework for environmental management of the development; (d) identify the statutory requirements that apply to the development; (e) consolidate all relevant environmental management plans and monitoring programs required in the conditions of this consent and committed to in the EIS; (f) describe the role, responsibility, authority, and accountability of all the key personnel involved in environmental management of the development. (g) describe in general how the environmental performance of the development would be monitored and managed; and (h) describe the procedures that would be implemented to: keep the local community and relevant agencies informed about the operation and environmental performance of the development; receive, handle, respond to, and record complaints; respond to any non-compliances; and respond to emergencies. | The Auditors sighted the Operational Environmental Management Plan (Document Code: PLANSW- XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant |
| | wunugement Fun Keyunements | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal |
|--|
|--|

| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
|----------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| 3 Reporting | The Applicant shall ensure that the Management Plans required under this consent are prepared in accordance with any relevant guidelines, and include: (a) detailed baseline data; (b) a description of: the relevant statutory requirements (including any relevant approval, licence or lease conditions); any relevant limits or performance measures/criteria; and the specific performance indicators that are proposed to be used to judge the performance of, or guide the implementation of, the development or any management measures; (c) a description of the measures that will be implemented to comply with the relevant statutory requirements, limits, or performance measures/criteria; and (d) a program to monitor and report on the: impacts and environmental performance of the development; and effectiveness of any management measures (see (c) above); (e) a contingency plan to manage any unpredicted impacts and their consequences; (f) a program to investigate and implement ways to improve the environmental performance of the development over time; (g) a protocol for managing and reporting any: incidents; complaints; non-compliances with statutory requirements; and exceedances of the impact assessment criteria and/or performance criteria; and (h) a protocol for periodic review of the plan. | The Auditors sighted the Operational Environmental Management Plan (Document Code: PLANSW- XXX-XXX-1) dated 23 June 2016. | None. | Compliant |
| | Incident Reporting | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| 4 | The Applicant shall notify the Secretary, City of Botany Bay Council and any other relevant agencies of any incident or potential incident with actual or potential significant off-site impacts on people or the biophysical environment associated with the project as soon as practicable after the Proponent becomes aware of the incident. Within 7 days of the date of this incident, the Proponent shall provide the Secretary and any relevant agencies with a detailed report on the incident. | Site visit on 13 May 2022. | None. | Compliant | |
| | Regular Reporting | | | | |
| 5 | The Applicant shall provide regular reporting on the environmental performance of the project on its website, in accordance with the reporting arrangements in any plans or programs approved under the conditions of this approval. | The Auditors sighted the following documents: Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2018-2019 (dated 16 August 2019). Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2019-2020 (dated 23 October 2020). Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2020-2021. | None. | Compliant | |
| Independent Environmental Audit | | | | | |



Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal

| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status |
|----------------------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| 6 | Within one (1) year of the date of this consent, and every 3 years thereafter, unless the Secretary directs otherwise, the Applicant shall commission and pay the full cost of an Independent Environmental Audit of the development. This audit must: (a) be conducted by suitably qualified, experienced and independent team of experts whose appointment has been endorsed by the Secretary; (b) include consultation with the relevant agencies; (c) assess the environmental performance of the development and whether it is complying with the relevant requirements in this consent and any relevant EPL and/or Water License (including any assessment, plan or program required under these approvals); (d) review the adequacy of any approved strategy, plan or program required under these approvals; and (e) recommend measures or actions to improve the environmental performance of the development, and/or any assessment, plan or program required under these approvals. | Evidence of compliance was sighted in the 2019 Independent Environmental Audit. The 2019 IEA prepared by Jackson Environment and Planning satisfied the first audit required 3 years after the first audit (2016 Independent Environmental Audit conducted by Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd report dated 28 July 2016). This audit satisfies the requirement to conduct an audit every 3 years thereafter. | None. | Compliant |
| 7 | Within 3 months of commissioning this audit, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Applicant shall submit a copy of the audit report to the Secretary, together with its response to any recommendations contained in the audit report. | Site visit on 13 May 2022. | None. | Compliant |
| | Annual Review | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| 8 | Within one (1) year of the date of this consent, and every year thereafter, the Applicant shall review the environmental performance of the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This review must: (a) describe the development that was carried out in the previous calendar year, and the development that is proposed to be carried out over the current calendar year; (b) include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the development over the previous calendar year, which includes a comparison of these results against: the relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria; the monitoring results of previous years; and the relevant predictions in the EIS; (c) identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance; (d) identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the development; (e) identify any discrepancies between the predicted and actual impacts of the development, and analyse the potential cause of any significant discrepancies; and (f) describe what measures will be implemented over the current calendar year to improve the environmental performance of the development. | The Auditors sighted the following documents: - Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2018-2019 (dated 16 August 2019). - Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2019-2020 (dated 23 October 2020). - Annual Environment Management Report – BTT 2020-2021 (dated 28 June 2021). | None. | Compliant | |
| | Revision of Strategies, Plans & Programs | | | | |
| 9 | Within 3 months of the submission of an: (a) annual review under Condition D8 of this schedule; (b) incident report under Condition D4 of this schedule; (c) audit report under Condition D6 of this schedule; and (d) any modifications to this consent, the Applicant shall review, and if necessary, revise, the strategies, plans, and programs required under this consent to the satisfaction of the Secretary. Note: This is to ensure the strategies, plans and programs are updated on a regular basis, and incorporate any recommended measures to improve the environmental performance of the development. | None | None | Not Triggered | |
| Access to III | | | | | |



| Conditions of Development Consent – SSD 5855 (incorporating MOD 1) - Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------|--|
| Consent Condition | Requirement | Evidence collected | Independent Audit Findings and Recommendations | Compliance Status | |
| 10 | The Applicant shall: (a) make the following information publicly available on its website: the EIS; current statutory approvals for the development; approved strategies, environmental management plans or programs; a summary of the monitoring results of the development, which have been reported in accordance with the various plans and programs approved under the conditions of this consent; a complaints register, updated on a quarterly basis; copies of any annual reviews (over the last 5 years); any independent environmental audit, and the Applicant's response to the recommendations in any audit; and any other matter required by the Secretary; and (b) keep this information up-to-date, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | The Auditors sighted the required documents on the Veolia website. | None. | Compliant | |



Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Appendix C - Odour Audit Reports



TO: MARY WONG

COMPANY: VEOLIA (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD

FROM: ISAAC FARRUGIA & MICHAEL ASSAL

DATE: 21 JUNE 2022

JOB NO: N1906L.03

SUBJECT: BANKSMEADOW WASTE TRANSFER TERMINAL FACILITY – ON-GOING ODOUR AUDIT PROGRAM: JUNE 2022

1. Introduction

The following technical memorandum documents the findings and recommendations from an on-going, six-monthly odour audit program (the **Audit**) being conducted by The Odour Unit Pty Ltd (**TOU**) at the Veolia (Australia) Pty Ltd (**Veolia**) Waste Transfer Terminal Facility, 34/36 McPherson Street, Banksmeadow, New South Wales (**BTT Facility**). The Audit documented in this memorandum report covers the outcome of two visits conducted by TOU at the BTT Facility on 1 & 3 June 2022.

This memorandum report documents the following:

- 1. The results and findings from odour sampling and testing of the roof discharge stack as found during the Audit visit;
- 2. Documentation of field observations made during the visit that are relevant to odour management as well as the outcomes from smoke testing;
- 3. A review of the relevant documentation, including the service logs for the preventative maintenance works undertaken on the building ventilation air extraction system and logged odour complaints between November 2021 and May 2022; and
- 4. The field ambient odour assessment (**FAOA**) survey results were undertaken within the BTT Facility at both downwind and upwind locations.

2. Relevant Background

The BTT Facility was completed in June 2016 and is designed, at full capacity, to receive up to 400,000 tonnes per annum of putrescible waste, consisting of mixed waste, including food from the municipal and commercial sectors. All received waste is delivered to the BTT Facility in enclosed waste collection trucks before being compacted and placed in sealed containers for rail transport to Veolia's site at Woodlawn for subsequent treatment, recycling, energy recovery, and disposal where required. The BTT Facility is also approved to receive up to 100,000 tonnes per annum of non-putrescible (dry) waste from the municipal, commercial, and industrial sectors for transfer to a new material recycling facility currently being scoped in Camellia.


The following report should be read in conjunction with previously issued documents relating to the BTT Facility, where applicable, including:

- 1. A TOU report titled *Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility* Odour Audit Final Report dated 26 May 2017 (the **May 2017 Report**);
- An email-based summary report titled Banksmeadow On-going Odour Investigation 2 August 2017 Summary dated 21 September 2017 documenting the works undertaken on 2 August 2017 at the BTT Facility (the August 2017 Report);
- A TOU Report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: January/February 2018 issued on 23 February 2018 (the February 2018 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: March to May 2018 (Rev 3) issued on 31 May 2018 (the March/May 2018 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: June 2018 issued on 28 June 2018 (the June 2018 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: October 2018 issued on 13 November 2018 (the November 2018 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: April 2019 issued on 10 May 2019 (the May 2019 Report);
- 8. A TOU report titled *Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: October 2019* issued on 19 November 2019 (the **October 2019 Report**);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: May 2020 issued on 22 June 2020 (the June 2020 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: October 2020 issued on 23 December 2020 (the December 2020 Report);
- 11. A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: May 2021 issued on 31 May 2021 (the **May 2021 Report**); and



12. A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility – On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: November 2021 issued on 10 March 2022 (the **November 2021 Report**).

2.1 Additional Work to the Audit

In addition to the visits conducted as part of the Audit, a site visit was conducted by TOU staff on 10 February 2022 to verify the accuracy of the velocity sensor located on the roof discharge stack. This verification was necessary due to suspected erroneous readings in previous assessments (refer to the May 2021 Report and the November 2021 Report). The outcome of this visit was that the sensor was reading incorrectly and that a fan belt was slipping, preventing the fan from operating at its full design capacity (50 Hz). Subsequent to this visit, the velocity sensor was replaced by the responsible mechanical contractor and a follow-up visit was conducted on 18 March 2022 by TOU to validate the outcomes of this replacement. The methodology and results of these visits have been added to the Audit and are discussed in **Section 3.5** and **Section 4.2**, respectively.

3. Odour Audit Methodology

3.1 Odour Sampling and Testing

The odour sampling and laboratory analysis methodology are well documented in the May 2017 Report. As such, it is not reproduced in this memorandum report.

The point source sampling method was utilised to collect samples from a 10-millimetre (**mm**) tap point created in the common plenum chamber of the two fan modules servicing the building ventilation extraction system at the BTT Facility. An illustration of the location and sampling technique is presented in **Photo 1**.

3.2 Odour Audit Logsheet

An extract of the logsheet utilised as part of the Audit visit is provided in **Figure 1**, which was developed in previous audits conducted at the BTT Facility.

3.3 Field Ambient Odour Assessment Survey

The methodology followed for the FAOA survey is well documented in the February 2018 Report. As such, it is not reproduced in this memorandum report. For the Audit, TOU extended the FAOA survey measurement period to five-minute intervals, with discrete measurement readings collected every ten seconds (i.e. 30 'sniffs' per measurement location point). The product of this measurement methodology is an intensity frequency pie graph. The odour impact criterion (i.e. the threshold that would be considered as increasing the likelihood of odour annoyance at downwind receptors) is set to an odour intensity of greater than 2 (Weak) and at a frequency of 10% per measurement cycle per location. This criterion is considered suitable given the industrial context of the BTT Facility. This detail is outlined in the FAOA map plot in **Figure 5** of **Section 4.5**.



3.4 Smoke Testing

The methodology for smoke testing is documented in the May 2018 Report. As such, it is not reproduced in this memorandum report.

3.5 Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Sensor Performance Evaluation

The methodology used to measure the airflow from the roof discharge stack was via a hot-wire anemometer at four (4) pre-drilled measurement locations, as illustrated in **Figure 2**. Notably, measurement point A is the location of the velocity sensor probe. These measurements were compared against the airflow sensor readings to determine the accuracy of the airflow sensor (refer to **Table 2**).

3.6 Review of relevant documentation

As part of the Audit, the following documentation was reviewed:

- Fan maintenance reports between November 2021 and May 2022; and
- Odour complaints register between November 2021 and May 2022.





10-mm sampling point

Photo 1 – An example of the roof discharge stack odour sampling point at the BTT Facility

| Date | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Stack samples collected | |
| | |
| Waste tonnage on floor | |
| Observed local wind conditions | |
| | |
| Fan setting | EF-01 Hz |
| | · |
| | Amps |
| Other comments | |
| | |
| | |

Figure 1 – Odour audit logsheet showing the logging of key operational parameters and weather conditions







Figure 2 – Diagram displaying a cross-sectional view of the roof discharge stack and location of measurement points

measurement point



4. <u>Results</u>

The following section summarises the results from the sampling and testing conducted at the BTT Facility on 1 & 3 June 2022. The odour laboratory results report is enclosed in **Appendix A**.

4.1 Roof Discharge Stack Odour Emission Results

The roof discharge stack odour emission results are presented in **Table 1**. The historical trend between waste tonnage on the floor and the stack odour emission rate at the BTT Facility until 1 June 2022 is presented in **Figure 3**.

4.2 Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Testing Results

As described in **Section 2**, the roof discharge stack airflow sensor's performance was assessed (and was consequently replaced) during a site visit conducted 10 February 2022, and the new sensor's performance was validated during a follow-up site visit conducted 18 March 2022. The design velocity through the discharge stack is 20 m/s. **Table 2 & Table 3** display the results of the site visits conducted 10 February & 18 March 2022, respectively. **Table 2** shows the results of testing in locations across the discharge stack as in **Figure 2**, with the last set of data (indicated by the date and fan settings being in green) displaying the readings of the new sensor after replacement and subsequent correction. The fan settings for EF-01 and EF-02 were determined to be set at 50 Hz to achieve the target exit velocity of 20 m/s. A correction to the velocity sensor was completed by the mechanical contractor to ensure that the displayed reading was consistent with the independent measurements collected by TOU.

4.3 Smoke Testing Results

Several smoke release points were undertaken to evaluate airflow patterns and fugitive emission release within the BTT Facility building enclosure. The smoke release points included the northern, middle, and southern areas of the BTT Facility building enclosure. A photo of a smoke testing point at the truck entry point of the BTT Facility, as occurred on 1 June 2022, is shown in **Photo 2.** A photo of the smoke testing within the BTT Facility building enclosure is shown in **Photo 3**. The observations made during smoke testing are as follows:

- No smoke was found to be emanating from the sealed breezeways around the perimeter of the BTT Facility building;
- The released smoke was found to be well-contained with the BTT Facility building enclosure, suggesting that odour release at ground level is minimal; and
- The released smoke was found to dissipate over time gradually. This indicates that there is a very good level of air exchange turnover within the BTT Facility building enclosure.



4.4 Odour Audit Logsheet

The outcomes from the completion of the audit logsheet on 1 June 2022 are provided in **Figure 4**.

4.5 Field Ambient Odour Assessment Survey

The FAOA survey results, as occurred on 1 June 2022, are provided in Figure 5 and Table 4.

4.6 <u>General Observations</u>

A photo of the storage container area as found on 1 June 2022 is shown in **Photo 5** and **Photo 6**. This area was found to be relatively well maintained. The truck entrance plastics strips were found to be missing a few panels (refer to **Photo 4**).



| Table 1 - | - Comparison of stack odour emi | ssion results and re | ecorded waste tonnage o | on the floor betw | ween January 2018 and June 2022 | | | |
|---------------|--|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Sample No. | Sampling Date | Sampling Time (hrs) | Measured stack odour concentration (ou) | Tonnage on waste floor (tonnes) | Stack design discharge airflow (m³/s) | Calculated stack odour emission rate (ou.m³/s) | Calculated stack odour emission rate per tonne of waste on the floor (ou.m ³ /s) | Relevant comments |
| 1 | Monday, 9 January 2019 | 0930 | 1,450 | 200 | 100 | 158,100 | 405 | |
| 2 | Monday, o January 2018 | 1040 | 1,450 | 390 | 109 | 158,100 | 405 | |
| 3 | Tuesday, 9 January 2018 | 0940 | 1,720 | | 55 | 94,080 | 627 | Single fan operating |
| 4 | Tuesday, 9 Sandary 2010 | 1002 | 1,450 | 150 | | 79,320 | 529 | Single fair operating |
| 5 | Wednesday 10 January 2018 | 0942 | 861 | 30 | 55 | 47,100 | 1,570 | Single fan operating |
| 6 | Weaheeday; Te Bandary 2010 | 1015 | 939 | | | 51,360 | 1,710 | |
| 7 | Thursday, 11 January 2018 | 0930 | 1,580 | 120 | 109 | 172,200 | 1,440 | |
| 8 | | 1029 | 1,720 | 120 | | 187,500 | 1,560 | |
| 9 | Friday, 12 January 2018 | 0950 | 790 | 120 | 109 | 86,110 | 718 | |
| 10 | · ···································· | 1032 | 395 | | | 43,060 | 359 | |
| 11 | Monday, 15 January 2018 | 0950 | 1,330 | 300 | 109 | 145,000 | 483 | |
| 12 | | 1100 | 1,450 | | | 158,100 | 527 | |
| 40 | 1 | 4000 | 450 | | Post-fan optimisation and servi | | 55 | 1 |
| 13 | Wednesday, 16 May 2018 | 1030 | 152 | 300 | 109 | 16,600 | 55 | |
| 14 | | 1035 | 197 | | | 21,470 | 12 | |
| 1 | 1 | 0045 | 101 | | Odour sampling campaign: Ju | 10 800 | 55 | |
| 2 | Monday, 18 June 2018 | 1025 | 101 | 360 | | 19,000 | 110 | - |
| 2 | | 0030 | 332 | | | 39,500 | 113 | - |
| 3 | Tuesday, 19 June 2019 | 0950 | 332 | 320 | | 36,200 | 113 | - |
| 5 | | 0933 | 362 | | | 39,500 | 158 | - |
| 6 | Wednesday, 20 June 2018 | 0910 | 256 181 | 250 | 109 | 27 900 | 112 | Refer to the June 2018 Report |
| 7 | | 0940 | 236 925 181 950 235 | 350 | | 19,700 | 56 | |
| 8 | Thursday, 21 June 2018 | 0950 | | | | 25 600 | 73 | |
| 9 | | 0925 | 91 | | | 9 920 | 50 | |
| 10 | Friday, 22 June 2018 | 0950 | 91 | 200 | 1 | 9 920 | 50 | |
| | 1 | | | 0 | dour audit as conducted on 11.0 | otobor 2018 | | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 11/5 | 150 | | dour audit as conducted on TTO | 17 200 | 25 | |
| 2 | Thursday, 11 October 2018 | 1325 | 102 | 500 | 114 | 20,600 | 35 | Refer to the November 2018 Report |
| | | 1325 | 101 | | | 20,000 | 41 | |
| | 1 | 4054 | 04 | | Odour audit as conducted on 10 | April 2019 | 70 | 1 |
| 1 | Wednesday, 10 April 2019 | 1051 | 91 | 150 | 115 | 10,500 | 70 | Refer to the May 2019 Report |
| 2 | | 1207 | 91 | | | 10,500 | 70 | · · |
| | | | | 0 | Dour audit as conducted on 2 O | ctober 2019 | | |
| 1 | Wednesday 2 October 2019 | 1405 | 157 | 180 | 104 | 16,400 | 91 | Refer to the October 2019 Report |
| 2 | | 1500 | 91 | 100 | | 9,460 | 95 | |
| | | | | | Odour audit as conducted on 6 | May 2020 | | |
| 1 | Wednesday, 6 May 2020 | 1018 | 304 | 120 | 112 | 34,400 | 286 | Refer to the May 2020 Report |
| 2 | Wednesday, 6 May 2020 | 1110 | 235 | 120 | 115 | 26,600 | 221 | Refer to the May 2020 Report |
| | | | | | Dour audit as conducted on 1 O | ctober 2020 | | |
| 1 | Tuesday 1 October 2020 | 1024 | 416 | 270 | 117 | 48,700 | 180 | Refer to October 2020 Report |
| 2 | | 1145 | 362 | 210 | 117 | 42,400 | 157 | |
| | | | | | Odour audit as conducted on 28 | April 2021 | | |
| 1 | Wednesday, 28 April 2021 | 0830 | 332 | 180 | 55 | 18,200 | 101 | Refer to Appendix A |
| | | | 1 | | Odour audit as conducted on 14 | May 2021 | | |
| 2 | Friday, 14 May 2021 | 0915 | 197 | 150 | 143 | 28,200 | 188 | Refer to Appendix A |
| | | | | | Odour audit as conducted on 1 | June 2022 | | |
| 1 | Wednesday, 1 June 2022 | 1130 | 128 | 250 | 82 | 6,080 | 24 | |
| 2 | | 1305 | /4 | | | 10,500 | 42 | |









| Table 2 – Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Verification Results: 10 February 2022 & 18 March 2022 | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Measurement as int | Senso | r reading | Independent | measurement | |
| 10-Feb-22 | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m³/hr) | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m ³ /hr) | |
| 10-Feb-22 | | Fan settings: EF-0 | 1 25 Hz, EF-02 40 Hz | · · · | |
| 1 | | | 12.1 | 238,000 | |
| 2 | | | 13.9 | 273,000 | |
| 3 | | | 7.8 | 154,000 | |
| 4 | | | 14.2 | 280,000 | |
| Α | 30.0 | 591,000 | | | |
| Average | 30.0 | 591,000 | 12.0 | 236,000 | |
| 10-Feb-22 | | Fan settings: EF-0 | 1 40 Hz, EF-02 40 Hz | | |
| 1 | | | 11.5 | 227,000 | |
| 2 | | | 15.9 | 313,000 | |
| 3 | | | 13.1 | 258,000 | |
| 4 | | | 15.8 | 311,000 | |
| A | 30.0 | 591,000 | | | |
| Average | 30.0 | 591,000 | 14.1 | 277,000 | |
| 18-Mar-22 | | Fan settings: EF-0 | 1 45 Hz, EF-02 45 Hz | | |
| 1 | | | 14.2 | 279,000 | |
| 2 | | | 17.9 | 352,000 | |
| 3 | | | 14.5 | 286,000 | |
| 4 | | | 18.0 | 355,000 | |
| Α | 30.0 | 591,000 | 18.6 | 366,000 | |
| Average | 30.0 | 591,000 | 16.6 | 328,000 | |
| 18-Mar-22 | | Fan settings: EF-0 | 1 50 Hz, EF-02 50 Hz | | |
| 1 | | | 15.7 | 309,000 | |
| 2 | | | 20.2 | 397,000 | |
| 3 | | | 16.3 | 321,000 | |
| 4 | | | 20.4 | 403,000 | |
| A | 20.7 | 407,000 | 20.1 | 397,000 | |
| Average | 20.7 | 407,000 | 18.5 | 365,000 | |

| Table 3 – Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Calibration Screening Results: 18 March 2022 | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Fan setting | Senso | r reading | Independent measurement | | | | |
| (Hz) | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m ³ /hr) | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m ³ /hr) | | | |
| 18-Mar-22 | | Measureme | ent point A | | | | |
| 35 | 25.0 | 493,000 | 14.3 | 281,000 | | | |
| 40 | 29.0 | 571,000 | 16.0 | 315,000 | | | |
| 45 | 30.0 | 591,000 | 18.0 | 355,000 | | | |
| 48 | 30.0 | 591,000 | 19.6 | 385,000 | | | |
| 50 | 30.0 | 591,000 | 20.2 | 398,000 | | | |





Photo 2 – Smoke testing at the truck entry point of the BTT Facility building enclosure on 1 June 2022





Photo 3 – A picture of the BTT Facility waste floor area during smoke testing on 1 June 2022 at 1144 hrs





Photo 4 – A view of the ventilation collection points: 1 June 2022 (Note: The collection grilles have an excessive build-up of particulate matter)



| Figure 4 – Completed audit logsheet as occurred on 1 June 2022 | | |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Date | 1 June 2022 | |
| Stack samples collected | Stack Discharge 1 of 2 collected at 1130 hrs on 1 June 202 | 2 |
| | Stack Discharge 2 of 2 collected at 1305 hrs on 1 June 202 | 2 |
| Waste tonnage on floor | Approximately 250 tonnes on 1 June 2022 as given by the | client |
| Observed local wind conditions | 1 June 2022: Mostly clear skies, moderate (2 – 5 m/s) to | heavy (5 - 10 |
| | between the south-westerly and north-westerly cardinal dire | ctions. The lo |
| | approximately 14 °C. | |
| Fan setting | 1 June 2022 | |
| | EF-01 | EF-02 |
| | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| | 50.0Hz | 50.0 |
| | 60.0 Amps | 56 7 |
| | 00.9Amps | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Other comments | EF-1 discharge stack reading = 21.0 m/s (per velocit | v sensor). |
| | | , |
| | EF-2 discharge stack reading = 21.0 m/s (per velocit | y sensor). |
| | | , , |
| | Measured stack velocity at discharge point (duct dim | ensions) = 15 |
| | | |
| | Twenty-three (23) empty waste containers and twee | nty-eight (28) |
| | concrete pad on 1 June 2022. | |
| | - Dreasen and a remain with reached around DTT Fe | منالف ام بنامانيم م |
| | Breezeways are permanently sealed around BTT Fa | cinty building. |
| | Fine particulate matter build-up on the receivals ball. | walls and aril |
| | to Photo 3 & Photo 4) | walis and grill |
| | | |
| | The internal air extraction points from the BTT Facili | ty building we |
| | part of the preventative maintenance works conducted | ed by Equilibri |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| m/s) wind speeds oscillating predominately ocal ambient temperature was observed to be |
|---|
| |
| |
| Hz |
| Amps |
| |
| |

5.0 m/s (average)

filled waste containers were present on the

lles of the ventilation collection system (refer

ere observed to be due for a cleaning. This is rium Air Conditioning Services Pty Ltd.





Figure 5 – FAOA survey odour impact map as conducted on 1 June 2022 between 1200 hrs and 1308 hrs (refer to Table 4 for details)

UNIT



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Session Time: 1200 hrs - 1308 hrs Date: 17.06.2022



| Table 4 – FAC | A survey logsh | neet: 1 June 20 | 22 between 12 | 00 hrs and 13 | 08 hrs | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Grid Reference Position | Time (hrs) | Wind Direction | Wind speed (m/s) | Odour Present (Y / N) | Odour character | VDI 3940 Intensity Scale 0-6 Range Detected | Is odour intensity ≥ 2 (Weak) and frequency of detection ≥ 10% | |
| 1 | 1200-1205 | W | 2 - 6 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | |
| 2 | 1207-1212 | W-SW | 8 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | |
| 3 | 1215-1220 | SW-SSW | 4 - 8 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | |
| 4 | 1222-1227 | SSW | 3 - 8 | Y | bin juice, sour | 0 – 2 | Ν | |
| 5 | 1232-1237 | W-WNW | 2 - 7 | Y | bin juice, sour | 0 – 2 | Ν | |
| 6 | 1240-1245 | W-NW | 3 - 7 | Y | bin juice, sour | 0 – 2 | Ν | |
| 7 | 1247-1252 | W | 5 - 8 | Y | bin juice, sour | 0 – 1 | Ν | |
| 8 | 1256-1301 | W | 4 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | |
| 9 | 1303-1308 | W | 4 - 8 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | |

| | Comments |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| • | Localised odour within the BTT Facility boundary |
| • | Localised odour within the BTT Facility boundary |
| • | Localised odour within the BTT Facility boundary |
| • | Localised odour within the BTT Facility boundary |
| | |
| | |



5. Odour Audit Findings

Based on the results and observations documented in **Section 4** of this memorandum report, the following findings are made:

- The roof discharge stack was found to be operating at a favourable odour performance level. Specifically, the odour performance of the roof discharge stack continues to be broadly consistent with original design performance documented in the Wilkinson & Murray Air Quality Impact Assessment dated April 2014;
- At the current roof discharge stack performance, downwind odour impacts are very unlikely. The status quo is expected to be maintained under the current operating and maintenance practices at the BTT Facility;
- A localised very weak to weak odour was detectable within the boundary of the BTT Facility at several measurement location points (refer to Figure 5) during the FAOA survey. The odour character was 'bin juice, sour' and the likely source was the activities at the BTT Facility occurring at the time;
- It is understood that the BTT Facility continues to implement an active service and maintenance program for the waste containers (refer to the NSW Resource Recovery – Container Maintenance). It is also understood that the road sweeper is utilised twice daily. As such, given the current odour mitigation and management practices and stack testing results as found in the Audit, the localised odour within the BTT Facility detected during the FAOA survey is not expected to be problematical at nearby, off-site downwind locations;
- The smoke testing conducted within the BTT Facility building enclosure indicated positive results and suggested that the building ventilation air extraction system at the BTT Facility is operating in an effective manner. It was noted that the collection grilles for the ventilation collection system (refer to Photo 4) and internal building walls have fouled with particulate matter/dust;
- Eight (8) formal odour complaints were logged between November 2021 and the Audit. All complaints were logged by IXOM personnel;
- The service logs indicate that all required maintenance works on the building ventilation air extraction system at the BTT Facility since the previous November 2021 Report have been adequately undertaken, and the system is operating in a satisfactory condition, aside from the discharge stack velocity sensor requiring attention (see below and refer to Section 6);
- A difference in the airflow sensor reading (21 m/s) and independent measurements (15 m/s) was identified, despite the verification and validation visits conducted on 10 February and 18 March 2022, respectively. This indicates that the fan may require additional optimisation by the mechanical contractor (e.g., fan belts, etc.). Moreover, it appears that the velocity sensor cannot provide sustainably accurate real-time measurements and requires a higher frequency of maintenance and/or replacement with an alternative



sensor. If selected, the alternative sensor will need to provide a higher degree of reliability and accuracy, given that the exit velocity is a key performance metric; and

 The plastic panels at the truck entry point of the BTT Facility building enclosure were intact and in good condition.

6. Follow-up Recommendations

Based on the findings documented in **Section 5**, the following recommendations are made:

- The existing roof discharge stack velocity sensor should be included as part of the monthly preventative maintenance checks to ensure it is operating reliably. This includes a physical inspection of the velocity sensor probe and cross-reference with a calibrated portable instrument measured at the sensor probe location. If the existing velocity sensor probe is identified to be unable to provide consistently accurate measurement readings, an alternative sensor (e.g. pitot tube or annubar) should be considered; and
- The walls of the BTT Facility and ventilation air extraction grilles on the collection system require cleaning. This will assist with maintaining a good degree of housekeeping and minimise fouling effects within the BTT Facility building. This will have a positive impact from an odour management perspective.

7. Concluding Remark

Given the results and findings as documented in this memorandum report, TOU is of the view that the BTT Facility is operating in a manner that is unlikely to adversely impact the local amenity from an odour viewpoint under the measured and current operating circumstances as found in the Audit. Notwithstanding this, as part of good practice, the follow-up recommendations should be implemented as soon as practicable to maintain this low-risk rating.

The next odour audit is due in December 2022.

The Odour Unit Pty Ltd

Signed by:

Junte

Isaac Farrugia B. Eng (Chem.) Consultant Engineer

Michael Assal MEngSc, B. Eng (Hon)/B.Sc, AMIChemE, MIEAust, CAQP Operations Manager

Attachment:

• Appendix A – Odour Laboratory Results Reports: 2 June 2022.



APPENDIX A -

ODOUR LABORATORY RESULTS REPORT: 2 JUNE 2022

THE ODOUR UNIT PTY LTD



The measurement was commissioned by:

Level 3 Suite 12 Phone: 56 Church Avenue Email: MASCOT NSW 2020 Internet

 Phone:
 +61 2 9209 4420

 Email:
 info@odourunit.com.au

 Internet:
 www.odourunit.com.au

 ABN:
 53 091 163 061



Odour Concentration Measurement Report

| Organisation | Veolia Environmental Services | Telephone | +61 417 862 293 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sampling Site | Banksmeadow Transfer Facility | Facsimile | mary.wong1@yeolia.com | | | | | |
| Sampling Method | Drum & pump, AS4323.3 | Sampling Team | TOU (JS) | | | | | |
| Order details: | | | | | | | | |
| Order requested by | M. Wong | Order accepted by | M. Assal | | | | | |
| Date of order | Refer to correspondence | TOU Project # | N1906L | | | | | |
| Order number | Refer to correspondence | Project Manager Papel Operator | M. Assal A. Schulz | | | | | |
| Signed by | Wi. Wong | | | | | | | |
| Investigated Item | Investigated Item Odour concentration in odour units 'ou', determined by sensory odour concentration measurements, of an odour sample supplied in a sampling bag. | | | | | | | |
| Identification | The odour sample bags were labelled number, sampling location (or Identification whether further chemical analysis was re- | individually. Each label rec on), sampling date and time, equired. | orded the testing laboratory, sample dilution ratio (if dilution was used) and | | | | | |
| Method | The odour concentration measurements Australian/New Zealand Standard: Sta <i>concentration by dynamic olfactometry (i</i> within the presentation series for the sam from the Australian standard is recorded | s were performed using dy ationary source emissions AS/NZS4323.3). The odour p pples were analogous to that in the 'Comments' section o | namic olfactometry according to the – Part 3: 'Determination of odour berception characteristics of the panel for butanol calibration. Any deviation f this report. | | | | | |
| Measuring Range | The measuring range of the olfactometer samples will have been pre-diluted. The specifically mentioned with the results. | is $2^2 \le \chi \le 2^{18}$ ou. If the measure machine is not calibrate | suring range was insufficient the odour discussion dilution setting 2 ¹⁷ . This is | | | | | |
| Environment | The measurements were performed in maintained at 22 $^\circ\text{C}$ ±3 $^\circ\text{C}.$ | an air- and odour-conditio | ned room. The room temperature is | | | | | |
| Measuring Dates | The date of each measurement is specifi | ied with the results. | | | | | | |
| Instrument Used | The olfactometer used during this testing TOU-OLF-004. | session was: | | | | | | |
| Instrumental Precision | The precision of this instrument (expressed as repeatability) for a sensory calibration must be $r \le 0.477$ in accordance with the AS/NZS 4323.3. r = 0.280 Compliance – Yes | | | | | | | |
| Instrumental Accuracy | The accuracy of this instrument for a sen 4323.3. A = 0.076 Compliance – Yes | sory calibration must be $A \leq$ | 0.217 in accordance with the AS/NZS | | | | | |
| Lower Detection Limit (LDL) | The LDL for the olfactometer has been d | etermined to be 16 ou, whic | h is 4 times the lowest dilution setting. | | | | | |
| Traceability | The results of the tests, calibrations ar Australian/national standards. The asses monitored in time to keep within the limit primary standards of n-butanol in nitroge | nd/or measurements include sors are individually selecte s of the standard. The result n. Note Disclaimers on last | ed in this document are traceable to d to comply with fixed criteria and are ts from the assessors are traceable to bage of this document. | | | | | |
| | Accredited for compliance we This report shall not be re | with ISO/IEC 17025 - 1 eproduced, except in | Festing. full. | | | | | |

Date: 17 June 2022

Panel Roster Number: SYD20220602_042

A. Schulz Authorised Signatory

1



THE ODOUR UNIT PTY LTD



Odour Sample Measurement Results Panel Roster Number: SYD20220602_042

| Sample Location | TOU Sample ID | Sampling Date & Time | Analysis Date & Time | Panel Size | Valid ITEs | Sample Odour Concentration (ou) |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| Sample #1 – Receivals hall ventilation (2 of 2) | SC22340 | 01.06.2022 1305 hrs | 02.06.2022 1111 hrs | 5 | 10 | 74 |
| Sample #2 – Receivals hall ventilation (1 of 2) | SC22341 | 01.06.2022 0930 hrs | 02.06.2022 1148 hrs | 5 | 10 | 128 |

Samples Received in Laboratory – From: TOU (J. Schulz) Date: 02.06.2022 Time: 0900 hrs

Note: The following are not covered by the NATA Accreditation issued to The Odour Unit Pty Ltd:

1. The collection of samples by the methods of AS/NZS 4323.4 and the calculation of Specific Odour Emission Rate (SOER).

2. Final results that have been modified by the dilution factors where parties other than The Odour Unit Pty Ltd have performed the dilution of samples.

2



THE ODOUR UNIT PTY LTD



Odour Panel Calibration Results

| Reference Odo | rant Reference Odorant Panel Roster Number | Concentration of Reference gas (ppb) | Panel Target Range for n-butanol (ppb) | Measured Concentration (ou) | Measured Panel Threshold (ppb) | Does this panel calibration measurement comply with AS/NZS 4323.3 (Yes / No) |
|---------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| n-butanol | SYD20220602_042 | 51,000 | $20 \le \chi \le 80$ | 724 | 70 | Yes |
| Comments | Odour characters (non-NATA accredited |) as determined by odour lab | oratory panel: | | | |
| | SC22340 bin juice, sweet SC22341 bin juice, sweet | | | | | |
| Disclaimers | 1. Parties, other than The Odour Unit Pty to The Odour Unit Pty I td for the pur | Ltd, responsible for collecting | g odour samples have advised tha | t they have voluntarily furnish | ed these odour samples, approp | priately collected and labelled, |
| | The collection of odour samples by pa the results from the test(s) may have | rties other than The Odour U | nit Pty Ltd relinquishes The Odou | r Unit Pty Ltd from all respons | sibility for the sample collection a | and any effects or actions that |

- Any comments included in, or attachments to, this Report are not covered by the NATA Accreditation issued to The Odour Unit Pty Ltd.
 This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of The Odour Unit Pty Ltd.

Report Status

| Status | Version | Date | Prepared by | Checked by | Change | Reason |
|---------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------|
| Draft | 0.1 | 17.06.2022 | IF | AS | - | - |
| Final | 1.0 | 17.06.2022 | IF | MA | - | - |
| Revised | - | - | - | - | - | - |

END OF DOCUMENT

3





TO: ANAE RESSOS

COMPANY: VEOLIA (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD

FROM: ISAAC FARRUGIA & MICHAEL ASSAL

DATE: 31 MAY 2023

JOB NO: N1906

SUBJECT: BANKSMEADOW WASTE TRANSFER TERMINAL FACILITY – ON-GOING ODOUR AUDIT PROGRAM: DECEMBER 2022

1. Introduction

The following technical memorandum documents the findings and recommendations from an ongoing, six-monthly odour audit program (the **Audit**) being conducted by The Odour Unit Pty Ltd (**TOU**) at the Veolia (Australia) Pty Ltd (**Veolia**) Waste Transfer Terminal Facility, 34/36 McPherson Street, Banksmeadow, New South Wales (**BTT Facility**). The Audit documented in this memorandum report covers the outcome the visit conducted by TOU at the BTT Facility on 21 December 2022. This report for the Audit documents the following:

- 1. The results and findings from odour sampling and testing of the roof discharge stack as found during the Audit visit;
- 2. Documentation of field observations made during the visit that are relevant to odour management as well as the outcomes from smoke testing;
- 3. A review of the relevant documentation, including the service logs for the preventative maintenance works undertaken on the building ventilation air extraction system and logged odour complaints between November 2021 and May 2022; and
- 4. The field ambient odour assessment (**FAOA**) survey results were undertaken within the BTT Facility at both downwind and upwind locations.

2. <u>Relevant Background</u>

The BTT Facility was completed in June 2016 and is designed, at full capacity, to receive up to 400,000 tonnes per annum of putrescible waste, consisting of mixed waste, including food from the municipal and commercial sectors. All received waste is delivered to the BTT Facility in enclosed waste collection trucks before being compacted and placed in sealed containers for rail transport to Veolia's site at Woodlawn for subsequent treatment, recycling, energy recovery, and disposal where required. The BTT Facility is also approved to receive up to 100,000 tonnes per annum of non-putrescible (dry) waste from the municipal, commercial, and industrial sectors for transfer to a new material recycling facility currently being scoped in Camellia.

The following report should be read in conjunction with previously issued documents relating to the BTT Facility, where applicable, including:



- 1. A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility Odour Audit Final Report dated 26 May 2017 (the May 2017 Report);
- An email-based summary report titled Banksmeadow On-going Odour Investigation 2 August 2017 Summary dated 21 September 2017 documenting the works undertaken on 2 August 2017 at the BTT Facility (the August 2017 Report);
- A TOU Report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: January/February 2018 issued on 23 February 2018 (the February 2018 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: March to May 2018 (Rev 3) issued on 31 May 2018 (the March/May 2018 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: June 2018 issued on 28 June 2018 (the June 2018 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: October 2018 issued on 13 November 2018 (the November 2018 Report);
- 7. A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: April 2019 issued on 10 May 2019 (the **May 2019 Report**);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: October 2019 issued on 19 November 2019 (the October 2019 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: May 2020 issued on 22 June 2020 (the June 2020 Report);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: October 2020 issued on 23 December 2020 (the December 2020 Report);
- 11. A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: May 2021 issued on 31 May 2021 (the **May 2021 Report**);
- A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: November 2021 issued on 10 March 2022 (the November 2021 Report); and
- 13. A TOU report titled Banksmeadow Waste Transfer Terminal Facility On-going odour audit and investigation progress update: June 2022 issued on 21 June 2022 (the **June 2022 Report**).



3. Odour Audit Methodology

3.1 Odour Sampling and Testing

The odour sampling and laboratory analysis methodology are well documented in the May 2017 Report. As such, it is not reproduced in this memorandum report.

The point source sampling method was utilised to collect samples from a 10-millimetre (**mm**) tap point created in the common plenum chamber of the two fan modules servicing the building ventilation extraction system at the BTT Facility. An illustration of the location and sampling technique is presented in **Photo 1**.

3.2 Odour Audit Log Sheet

An extract of the log sheet utilised as part of the Audit visit is provided in **Figure 1**, which was developed in previous audits conducted at the BTT Facility.

3.3 Field Ambient Odour Assessment Survey

The methodology followed for the FAOA survey is well documented in the February 2018 Report. As such, it is not reproduced in this memorandum report. For the Audit, TOU extended the FAOA survey measurement period to five-minute intervals, with discrete measurement readings collected every ten seconds (i.e., 30 'sniffs' per measurement location point). The product of this measurement methodology is an intensity frequency pie graph. The odour impact criterion (i.e., the threshold that would be considered as increasing the likelihood of odour annoyance at downwind receptors) is set to an odour intensity of greater than 2 (Weak) and at a frequency of 10% per measurement cycle per location. This criterion is considered suitable given the industrial context of the BTT Facility. This detail is outlined in the FAOA map plot in **Figure 5** of **Section 4.5**.

3.4 Smoke Testing

The methodology for smoke testing is documented in the May 2018 Report. As such, it is not reproduced in this memorandum report.

3.5 Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Sensor Performance Evaluation

The methodology used to measure the airflow from the roof discharge stack was via a hot-wire anemometer at four (4) pre-drilled measurement locations, as illustrated in **Figure 2**. Notably, measurement point A is the location of the velocity sensor probe. These measurements were compared against the airflow sensor readings to determine the accuracy of the airflow sensor (refer to **Table 2**).

Verification of the accuracy of the velocity sensor located on the roof discharge stack has become a component of the biannual audits and is necessary due to suspected erroneous readings in previous assessments (refer to the May 2021 Report and the November 2021 Report). **Table 3** has been reproduced from the July 2022 Report to compare both the sensor reading and TOU's independent measurements against the



3.6 Review of relevant documentation

As part of the Audit, the following documentation was reviewed:

- Fan maintenance reports between June 2022 and December 2022; and
- Odour complaints register between June 2022 and December 2022.





Photo 1 – An example of the roof discharge stack odour sampling point at the BTT Facility

| Date | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Stack samples collected | | |
| | | |
| Waste tonnage on floor | | |
| Observed local wind conditions | | |
| | | |
| Fan setting | EF-01 Hz | EF-02 Hz |
| | | |
| | Amps | Amps |
| Other comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Figure 1 – Odour audit logsheet showing the logging of key operational parameters and weather conditions





Figure 2 – Diagram displaying a cross-sectional view of the roof discharge stack and location of measurement points

measurement point





4. <u>Results</u>

The following section summarises the results from the sampling and testing conducted at the BTT Facility on 21 December 2022. The odour laboratory results report is enclosed in **Appendix A**.

4.1 Roof Discharge Stack Odour Emission Results

The roof discharge stack odour emission results are presented in **Table 1** and **Table 2**. The historical trend between waste tonnage on the floor and the stack odour emission rate at the BTT Facility until 21 December 2022 is presented in **Figure 3**.

4.2 Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Testing Results

The design velocity through the discharge stack is 20 m/s. Based on the reported outcomes since the June 2022 Report, and TOU's independent physical measurements, the fan settings for EF-01 and EF-02 are required to be set to 50 Hz to achieve the target exit velocity of 20 m/s. A correction to the velocity sensor was completed by the responsible mechanical contractor in 2022 to ensure that the displayed reading was consistent with the independent measurements collected by TOU, as the velocity sensor was displaying erroneous readings at that time.

As part of the Audit, TOU conducted further measurements to assess the accuracy of the existing velocity sensor located at the roof discharge stack. **Table 3** displays the sensor reading and independent measurements of airflow through the discharge stack at various fan speeds in March 2022. **Table 4** compares the velocity readings as obtained by the fixed sensor and TOU's portable instrument during the Audit. Based on the readings outlined in **Table 3** and **Table 4**, it appears that the physical performance of the fans at 45 Hz were approximate to the performance of the fans at 35 Hz. This sub-optimal fan performance requires investigation by a mechanical contractor (refer to **Section 6**).

4.3 Smoke Testing Results

Several smoke release points were undertaken to evaluate airflow patterns and fugitive emission release within the BTT Facility building enclosure. The smoke release points included the northern, middle, and southern areas of the BTT Facility building enclosure. A photo of a smoke testing point at the truck entry point of the BTT Facility, as occurred on 21 December 2022, is shown in **Photo 2.** A photo of the smoke testing within the BTT Facility building enclosure is shown in **Photo 3**. The observations made during smoke testing are as follows:

- No smoke was found to be emanating from the sealed breezeways around the perimeter of the BTT Facility building;
- The released smoke was found to be well-contained with the BTT Facility building enclosure, suggesting that odour release at ground level is minimal; and
- The released smoke was found to dissipate over time gradually. This indicates that there is a very good level of air exchange turnover within the BTT Facility building enclosure.



4.4 Odour Audit Log Sheet

The outcomes from the completion of the audit log sheet on 21 December 2022 are provided in **Figure 4**.

4.5 Field Ambient Odour Assessment Survey

The FAOA survey results, as occurred on 21 December 2022, are provided in **Figure 5** and **Table 5**.

4.6 General Observations

The truck entrance plastics strips were found to be in good condition at the time of the Audit (refer to **Photo 5**). The storage container area was found to be well maintained at the time of the Audit (refer to **Photo 6** and **Photo 7**).



| Table 1 – | Table 1 – Comparison of stack odour emission results and recorded waste tonnage on the floor between January 2018 and October 2022 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Sample No. | Sampling Date | Sampling Time (hrs) | Measured stack odour concentration (ou) | Tonnage on waste floor (tonnes) | Stack design discharge airflow (m³/s) | Calculated stack odour emission rate (ou.m³/s) | Calculated stack odour emission rate per tonne of waste on the floor (ou.m ³ /s) | Relevant comments |
| 1 2 | Monday, 8 January 2018 | 0930 1040 | 1,450 1,450 | 390 | 109 | 158,100 158,100 | 405 405 | |
| 3 4 | Tuesday, 9 January 2018 | 0940 1002 | 1,720 1,450 | 150 | 55 | 94,080 79,320 | 627 529 | Single fan operating |
| 5 6 | Wednesday, 10 January 2018 | 0942 1015 | 861 939 | 30 | 55 | 47,100 51,360 | 1,570 1,710 | Single fan operating |
| 7 8 | Thursday, 11 January 2018 | 0930 1029 | 1,580 1,720 | 120 | 109 | <u> </u> | 1,440 1,560 | |
| 9 10 | Friday, 12 January 2018 | 0950 1032 | 790 395 | 120 | 109 | 86,110 43,060 | 718 359 | |
| 11 12 | Monday, 15 January 2018 | 0950 1100 | 1,330 1,450 | 300 | 109 | 145,000 158,100 | 483 527 | |
| | | | | Post | -fan optimisation and service work | s | | |
| 13 | Wednesday, 16 May 2018 | 1030 | 152 | 200 | 100 | 16,600 | 55 | |
| 14 | wednesday, 16 May 2018 | 1035 | 197 | 300 | 109 | 21,470 | 72 | |
| | | | | Odo | our sampling campaign: June 2018 | 3 | | |
| 1 2 | Monday, 18 June 2018 | 0945 1025 | 181 362 | 360 | | 19,800 39,500 | 55 110 | |
| 3 4 | Tuesday, 19 June 2019 | 0930 0955 | 332 332 | 320 | | 36,200 36,200 | 113 113 | |
| 5 6 | Wednesday, 20 June 2018 | 0910 0940 | 362 256 | 250 | 109 | 39,500 27,900 | 158 112 | Refer to the June 2018 Report |
| 7 8 | Thursday, 21 June 2018 | 0925 0950 | 181 235 | 350 | | 19,700 25,600 | 56 73 | |
| 9 10 | Friday, 22 June 2018 | 0925 0950 | 91 91 | 200 | | 9,920 9,920 | 50 50 | |
| | | | | Odour a | audit as conducted on 11 October | 2018 | | |
| 1 2 | Thursday, 11 October 2018 | 1145 1325 | 152 181 | 500 | 114 | 17,300 20,600 | 35 41 | Refer to the November 2018 Report |
| | | | | Odour | r audit as conducted on 10 April 20 | 019 | | |
| 1 2 | Wednesday, 10 April 2019 | 1051 1207 | 91 91 | 150 | 115 | 10,500 10,500 | 70 70 | Refer to the May 2019 Report |
| Odour audit as conducted on 2 October 2019 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | Wednesday, 2 October 2019 | 1405 1500 | 157 91 | 180 100 | 104 | 16,400 9,460 | 91 95 | Refer to the October 2019 Report |
| Odour audit as conducted on 6 May 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | Wednesday, 6 May 2020 | 1018 1110 | 304 235 | 120 | 113 | 34,400 26,600 | 286 221 | Refer to the May 2020 Report |
| Odour audit as conducted on 1 October 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | Tuesday, 1 October 2020 | 1024 1145 | 416 362 | 270 | 117 | 48,700 42,400 | 180 157 | Refer to October 2020 Report |
| _ | | | | 1 | 1 | , | | 1 |



| Table 2 – Comparison of stack odour emission results and recorded waste tonnage on the floor between April 2021 and December 2022 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| Sample No. | Sampling Date | Sampling Time (hrs) | Measured stack odour concentration (ou) | Tonnage on waste floor (tonnes) | Stack design discharge airflow (m³/s) | Calculated stack odour emission rate (ou.m ³ /s) | Calculated stack odour emission rate per tonne of waste on the floor (ou.m ³ /s) | Relevant comments |
| | | | | Odour audit a | as conducted on 28 April 2021 | | | |
| 1 | Wednesday, 28 April 2021 | 0830 | 332 | 180 | 55 | 18,200 | 101 | Refer to April 2021 Report |
| | | | | Odour audit a | as conducted on 14 May 2021 | | | |
| 2 | Friday, 14 May 2021 | 0915 | 197 | 150 | 143 | 28,200 | 188 | Refer to May 2021 Report |
| Odour audit as conducted on 1 June 2022 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | 1130 | 128 | 250 | 00 | 6,080 | 24 | Befer to June 2022 Benert |
| 2 | wednesday, i June 2022 | 1305 | 74 | 200 | 82 | 10,500 | 42 | Refer to June 2022 Report |
| Odour audit as conducted on 21 December 2022 | | | | | | | | |
| | Wednesday, 21 December | 0958 | 181 | 120 | 70 | 5,750 | 48 | Defer to Annendix A |
| | 2022 | 1003 | 208 | 120 | 18 | 9,950 | 83 | Relet to Appendix A |





Figure 3 - Comparison of the roof stack odour emission rate between January 2018 and December 2022



| Table 3 – Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Calibration Screening Results: 18 March 2022 | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Fan setting | Senso | r reading | Independent measurement | | | | |
| (Hz) | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m ³ /hr) | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m³/hr) | | | |
| 18-Mar-22 | Measurement point A | | | | | | |
| 35 | 25.0 | 493,000 | 14.3 | 281,000 | | | |
| 40 | 29.0 | 571,000 | 16.0 | 315,000 | | | |
| 45 | 30.0 | 591,000 | 18.0 | 355,000 | | | |
| 48 | 30.0 | 591,000 | 19.6 | 385,000 | | | |
| 50 | 30.0 | 591,000 | 20.2 | 398,000 | | | |

| Table 4 – Roof Discharge Stack Airflow Verification Results: 21 December 2022 | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Measurement point (refer to Figure 2) | Senso | r reading | Independent measurement | | |
| | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m³/hr) | Velocity (m/s) | Airflow (m ³ /hr) | |
| 21-Dec-22 | Fan settings: EF-01 45 Hz, EF-02 45 Hz | | | | |
| 1 | | | 12.3 | 242,000 | |
| 2 | | | 15.2 | 299,000 | |
| 3 | | | 13.4 | 265,000 | |
| 4 | | | 15.5 | 306,000 | |
| Α | 21.0 | 414,000 | | | |
| Average | 21.0 | 414,000 | 14.1 | 278,000 | |





Photo 2 – Smoke testing at the truck entry point of the BTT Facility building enclosure on 21 December 2022



I DET MEREPERE

Photo 3 – A picture of the BTT Facility waste floor area during smoke testing on 21 December 2022






Photo 4 – A view of the ventilation collection points: 21 December 2022 (Note: The collection grilles have an excess build-up of particulate matter)





Photo 5 – A view of the truck entrance & plastic strips: 21 December 2022





Photo 6 – A view of the storage container area (1 of 2): 21 December 2022









| Figure 4 – Completed audit logsheet as occurred on 21 December 202 | 22 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Date | 21 December 2022 | | | | |
| Stack samples collected | Stack Discharge 1 of 2 collected at 0958 hrs on 21 Decembe Stack Discharge 2 of 2 collected at 1003 hrs on 21 Decembe | Stack Discharge 1 of 2 collected at 0958 hrs on 21 December 2022 Stack Discharge 2 of 2 collected at 1003 hrs on 21 December 2022 | | | |
| Waste tonnage on floor | Approximately 120-150 tonnes on 21 December 2022 as give | en by the client | | | |
| Observed local wind conditions | 21 December 2022: Sunny, light cloud cover, no rainfall, calr from the south-westerly cardinal directions. The local ambien | n (< 0.5 m/s) to t temperature | | | |
| Fan setting | 21 December 2022 | | | | |
| | EF-01 | EF-02 | | | |
| | 45.0Hz | 45.0 | | | |
| | 46.7Amps | 45.9 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Other comments | EF-1 discharge stack reading = 21.0 m/s (per velocity | sensor). | | | |
| | EF-2 discharge stack reading = 21.0 m/s (per velocity | sensor). | | | |
| | Measured stack velocity at discharge point (duct dime | nsions) = 14.2 | | | |
| | Fan backpressure = -62 Pa | | | | |
| | Sixty-five (65) empty waste containers and twenty-the pad at 09:55 on 21 December 2022. | ee (23) filled v | | | |
| | Both compactors were operating during the visit. | | | | |
| | BTT personnel discussed issues with potential fan bel higher fan speeds. | t slippage, i.e. | | | |
| | Air extraction system fresh air louvres observed to have | ve excessive b | | | |
| | The internal air extraction points from the BTT Facility of the preventative maintenance works conducted by | [,] building were Equilibrium Air | | | |

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

o light (0.5 – 2 m/s) wind speeds predominately was observed to be approximately 24 °C.

Hz

____Amps

2 m/s (average)

waste containers were present on the concrete

, the fan(s) were producing a loud noise at

ouild-up of particulates.

e observed to be due for a cleaning. This is part r Conditioning Services Pty Ltd.





Figure 5 – FAOA survey odour impact map as conducted on 21 December 2022 between 1017 hrs and 1118 hrs (refer to Table 4 for details)







| Table 5 – FAOA survey log sheet: 21 December 2022 between 1017 hrs and 1118 hrs | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Grid Reference Position | Time (hrs) | Wind Direction | Wind speed (m/s) | Odour Present (Y / N) | Odour character | VDI 3940 Intensity Scale 0-6 Range Detected | Is odour intensity ≥ 2 (Weak) and frequency of detection ≥ 10% | | |
| 1 | 1017-1022 | S | 0.5 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | | |
| 2 | 1023-1028 | S | 1-2 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | | |
| 3 | 1029-1034 | S | 1-2 | Y | bin juice, sour | 2-3 | Y | | |
| 4 | 1037-1042 | S-SW | 1-2 | Y | bin juice, sour | 0 – 2 | Y | | |
| 5 | 1043-1048 | S-SW | 2 | Y | bin juice, sour | 0 – 2 | Y | | |
| 6 | 1050-1055 | S-SW | 0.5-2 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | | |
| 7 | 1100-1105 | S | 1-2 | Y | bin juice, sour | 0 – 1 | Ν | | |
| 8 | 1106-1111 | S-SW | 4 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | | |
| 9 | 1113-1118 | calm | <0.5 | N | nil detection | 0 | Ν | | |

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

| | Comments |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| • | Localised odour within the BTT Facility boundary |
| • | Localised odour within the BTT Facility boundary |
| • | Localised odour within the BTT Facility boundary |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



5. Odour Audit Findings

Based on the results and observations documented in **Section 4** of this memorandum report, the following findings are made:

- The roof discharge stack was found to be operating at an adequate odour performance level. However, the physical performance of the roof discharge fans appears to be sub-optimal, as explained in **Section 4.2**. Also, a difference in the airflow sensor reading (21.0 m/s) and independent measurements (14.2 m/s) was identified, despite the outcomes from the maintenance reports. This indicates that the fan may require additional optimisation by the mechanical contractor (e.g., fan belts, etc.). This view is also supported by observational evidence from the BTT Facility personnel that loud noises are occasionally produced from the fans at high fan speeds, which may suggest a fan belt issue. Moreover, it appears that the velocity sensor requires further optimisation and/or replacement with an alternative sensor model. If selected, the alternative sensor will need to provide a higher degree of reliability and accuracy, given that the exit velocity is a key performance metric on fan performance;
- Based on the roof discharge stack odour emissions performance as found during the Audit, downwind odour impacts were very unlikely. The status quo is expected to be maintained under the current operating and maintenance practices at the BTT Facility;
- A localised very weak to distinct odour was detectable within the boundary of the BTT Facility at several measurement location points (refer to Figure 5) during the FAOA survey. The odour character was 'bin juice, sour' and the likely source was the activities at the BTT Facility occurring at the time;
- It is understood that the BTT Facility continues to implement an active service and maintenance program for the waste containers (refer to the NSW Resource Recovery – Container Maintenance). It is also understood that the road sweeper is utilised twice daily. As such, given the current odour mitigation and management practices and stack testing results as found in the Audit, the localised odour within the BTT Facility detected during the FAOA survey is not expected to be problematical at nearby, off-site downwind locations;
- The smoke testing conducted within the BTT Facility building enclosure indicated positive results and suggested that the building ventilation air extraction system at the BTT Facility is operating in an effective manner. It was noted that the collection grilles for the ventilation collection system (refer to **Photo 4**) and internal building walls have fouled with particulate matter/dust and require cleaning;
- Five (5) formal odour complaints were logged between August 2022 and 14 December 2022. Three (3) complaints were logged by IXOM personnel, either directly via email or reported via the New South Wales Environment Protection Authority (**NSW EPA**), and two (2) complaints were logged by unknown persons via the NSW EPA;
- The service logs indicate that all required maintenance works on the building ventilation air extraction system at the BTT Facility since the previous June 2022 Report have been adequately undertaken, and the system is operating in a satisfactory condition, with the potential exception of one or both fans driving the roof discharge stack. It should be noted that the fan belts were checked for cracks and tension and were found to be satisfactory, and the fan velocity was recorded as 21.0 L/s, as detailed in the 13 December 2022 maintenance



report. However, it does not appear that the first recommendation from the June 2022 Report has been implemented as of the Audit and should be followed-up; and

 The plastic panels at the truck entry point of the BTT Facility building enclosure were in-tact and in good condition, and the storage container area was well maintained.

6. Follow-up Recommendations

Based on the findings documented in **Section 5**, the following recommendations are made:

- The existing roof discharge stack velocity sensor should be included as part of the monthly preventative maintenance checks to ensure it is operating reliably. This includes a physical inspection of the velocity sensor probe and cross-reference with a calibrated portable instrument measured at the sensor probe location. If, after maintenance, the existing velocity sensor probe is identified to be unable to provide consistently accurate measurement readings, an alternative sensor (e.g., pitot tube or annubar) should be considered;
- The reduced fan performance, and the observed excessive fan noise during operation, should be investigated. If any issues are identified, they should be addressed as soon as practicable to maintain the current low-risk rating of odour emission; and
- The walls of the BTT Facility and ventilation air extraction grilles on the collection system require cleaning. This will assist with maintaining a good degree of housekeeping and minimise fouling effects within the BTT Facility building. This will have a positive impact from an odour management perspective.

7. Concluding Remark

Given the results and findings as documented in this memorandum report, TOU is of the view that the BTT Facility is operating in a manner that is unlikely to adversely impact the local amenity from an odour viewpoint under the measured and current operating circumstances as found in the Audit. Notwithstanding this, as part of good practice, the follow-up recommendations should be implemented as soon as practicable to maintain this low-risk rating.

The next odour audit is due in June 2023.

The Odour Unit Pty Ltd

Signed by:

Michael Assal MEngSc, B. Eng (Hon)/B.Sc, AMIChemE, MIEAust, CAQP Operations Manager

inte

Isaac Farrugia B. Eng (Chem.) Consultant Engineer

Attachment:

• Appendix A – Odour Laboratory Results Reports: 22 December 2022



APPENDIX A -

ODOUR LABORATORY RESULTS REPORT: 22 DECEMBER 2022



⊠ Sydney Laboratory The Odour Unit Pty Ltd Level 3, 12/56 Church Avenue MASCOT NSW 2020 P: +61 2 9209 4420 E: info@odourunit.com.au ABN: 53 091 165 061 □ Brisbane Laboratory The Odour Unit (QLD) Pty Ltd 2/57 Neumann Road CAPALABA QLD 4165 P: +61 7 3245 1700 E: <u>qldinfo@odourunit.com.au</u> ABN: 87 102 255 765

Odour Concentration Measurement Report

| Sampling and Laboratory Information | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Organisatior | Veolia Environmental Services | Telephone | 9841 2800 | | | | | | |
| Contac | B. Manevski | Email | bob.manevski@veolia.com | | | | | | |
| Sampling Site | Banksmeadow | Sampling Personnel | TOU (JS) | | | | | | |
| Sampling Method | AS4323.3 | Laboratory Location | Mascot NSW | | | | | | |
| Order and Project Information | | | | | | | | | |
| Order requested by | B. Manevski | Order accepted by | M. Assal | | | | | | |
| Date of orde | Refer to correspondence | TOU Project # | N1906 | | | | | | |
| Order numbe | Refer to correspondence | Project Manager | M. Assal | | | | | | |
| Signed by | B. Manevski | Panel Operator | A. Schulz | | | | | | |
| Investigated Item Odour concentration in odour units 'ou', determined by sensory odour concentration measurements, of an odour sample supplied in a sampling bag. | | | | | | | | | |
| Identification | The odour sample bags were labelled number, sampling location (or Identification whether further chemical analysis was re- | individually. Each label rec on), sampling date and time, equired. | orded the testing laboratory, sample dilution ratio (if dilution was used) and | | | | | | |
| Method | The odour concentration measurement Australian/New Zealand Standard: Sta <i>concentration by dynamic olfactometry (/</i> within the presentation series for the sam from the Australian standard is recorded | s were performed using dy ationary source emissions AS/NZS 4323.3). The odour nples were analogous to that in the 'Comments' section o | <i>n</i> namic olfactometry according to the <i>– Part 3: 'Determination of odour</i> perception characteristics of the panel i for butanol calibration. Any deviation f this report. | | | | | | |
| Measuring Range | The measuring range of the olfactometer samples will have been pre-diluted. The specifically mentioned with the results. | is $2^2 \le \chi \le 2^{18}$ ou. If the measure he machine is not calibrate | suring range was insufficient the odour d beyond dilution setting 2 ¹⁷ . This is | | | | | | |
| Environment | The measurements were performed in maintained at 22 °C ±3 °C. | an air- and odour-conditio | ned room. The room temperature is | | | | | | |
| Measuring Dates | The date of each measurement is specifi | ied with the results. | | | | | | | |
| Instrument Used | The olfactometer used during this testing TOU-OLF-004 | session was: | | | | | | | |
| Laboratory Precision The precision of this laboratory (expressed as repeatability) for sensory quality must be $r \le 0.477$ in accordance with the AS/NZS 4323.3. r = 0.461 Compliance – Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| Laboratory Accuracy | Laboratory AccuracyThe accuracy of this laboratory for sensory quality must be $A \le 0.217$ in accordance with the AS/NZS 4323.3. $A = 0.216$ Compliance – Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Lower Detection Limit (LDL) | The LDL for the olfactometer has been determined to be 16 ou, which is 4 times the lowest dilution setting. | | | | | | | | |
| Traceability | ty The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. The assessors are individually selected to comply with fixed criteria and are monitored in time to keep within the limits of the standard. The results from the assessors are traceable to primary standards of n-butanol in nitrogen. Note Disclaimers on last page of this document. | | | | | | | | |
| Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. | | | | | | | | | |

Date: Friday, 20 January 2023

Panel Roster Number: SYD20221222_096

A. Schulz Authorised Signatory

1



THE ODOUR UNIT



Accreditation Number: 14974

Odour Sample Measurement Results Panel Roster Number: SYD20221222_096

| #1 – Stack outlet (1 of 2) SC22680 21.12.2022 22.12.2022 5 0958 hrs 1022 hrs 5 | 10 | 181 |
|--|----|-----|
| #2 – Stack outlet (2 of 2) SC22681 21.12.2022 1003 hrs 22.12.2022 1059 hrs 5 | 10 | 208 |

Samples Received in Laboratory – From: TOU (M. Assal) Time: 0900 hrs Date: 22/12/2022

Note: The following are not covered by the NATA Accreditation issued to The Odour Unit:

- 1. The collection of samples by a method that is not prescribed by AS/NZS 4323.3.
- 2. Final results that have been modified by the dilution factors where parties other than The Odour Unit have performed the dilution of samples.

2



THE ODOUR UNIT



Odour Panel Calibration Results

| Reference Odorant | Reference Odorant Panel Roster Number | Concentration of Reference gas (ppb) | Panel Target Range for n-butanol (ppb) | Measured Concentration (ou) | Measured Panel Threshold (ppb) | Does this panel calibration measurement comply with AS/NZS 4323.3 (Yes / No) |
|-------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| n-butanol | SYD20221222_096 | 51,000 | $20 \le \chi \le 80$ | 724 | 70 | Yes |

Comments Odour characters (non-NATA accredited) as determined by odour laboratory panel:

| Laboratory ID | Odour Character |
|---------------|---------------------------|
| SC22680 | musty, bin juice, garbage |
| SC22681 | musty, bin juice, garbage |

- Departures Clause 9.5.3 (d) Cross-sectional distribution of airflow and concentration from port-openings are not checked due to impracticality of the requirement .
- Disclaimers 1. Parties, other than The Odour Unit, responsible for collecting odour samples have advised that they have voluntarily furnished these odour samples, appropriately collected and labelled, to The Odour Unit for the purpose of odour testing.
 - 2. The collection of odour samples by parties other than The Odour Unit relinquishes The Odour Unit from all responsibility for the sample collection and any effects or actions that the results from the test(s) may have.
 - 3. Any comments included in, or attachments to, this Report are not covered by the NATA Accreditation issued to The Odour Unit.
 - 4. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of The Odour Unit.

Report Status

| Status | Version | Prepared by | Date | Checked by | Date | Change | Reason |
|---------|---------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| Draft | 0.1 | I. Farrugia | 20.01.2023 | M. Assal | 20.01.2023 | | |
| Final | 1.0 | | | M. Assal | 20.01.2023 | | |
| Revised | 1.1 | | | | | | |

END OF DOCUMENT

3



NSW Annual Environmental Management Report

Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Appendix D - Pest and Vermin Control Reports

| SERVICE REPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1/08/2022 | | | | | | | | | |
| Service Performed b | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXPERT JUDGEMENT PEST MANAGEMENT PTY LTD PO Box A25, ENFIELD SOUTH NSW 2133 enquiries@expertjudgementpest.com.au Telephone: (02) 9715 5270 ABN 63 081 548 861 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property Detail: | Veolia Environmental Services (Australia) Pty Ltd Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal 34-36 Mcpherson St BANKSMEADOW NSW 2019 | | | | | | | | | |
| Service Details: | A routine pest control service to offices, staff | | | | | | | | | |
| | room, shed, compactor and external area | | | | | | | | | |
| | for cockroaches, ants, spiders and rodents. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inspected and treated internal areas including | | | | | | | | | |
| | offices, staff room, lunch room and toilets by | | | | | | | | | |
| | using Goliath cockroach gel. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inspected and treated all other areas including | | | | | | | | | |
| | shed area, pitt area and external areas by | | | | | | | | | |
| | using Cislin 25 spray and Roban rodent bait. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rodent activity found in shed area and treated | | | | | | | | | |
| | by using Roban rodent bait. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| SERVICE REPORT | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 13/02/2023 | | | | | | | | | |
| PERT JUDGEMENT MANAGEMENT PTY LTD 25, ENFIELD SOUTH NSW 2133 @expertjudgementpest.com.au ne: (02) 9715 5270 081 548 861 | | | | | | | | | |
| Veolia Environmental Services (Australia) Pty Ltd Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal 34-36 Mcpherson St BANKSMEADOW NSW 2019 | | | | | | | | | |
| A routine pest control service to offices, staff room, shed, compactor and external area for cockroaches, ants, spiders and rodents. Inspected and treated internal areas including offices, laundry, staff room, lunch room and toilets by using Goliath cockroach gel and Coopex dust spot spray. Inspected and treated all other areas including shed area, pitt area and external areas by using Cislin 25 spray and Roban rodent bait. | | | | | | | | | |
| | <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header> | | | | | | | | |

| SERVICE REPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 9/11/2022 | | | | | | | | | |
| Service Performed b EXP PEST PO Box A2 enquiries @ Telephon ABN 63 0 | PERT JUDGEMENT MANAGEMENT PTY LTD 25, ENFIELD SOUTH NSW 2133 @expertjudgementpest.com.au ne: (02) 9715 5270 081 548 861 | | | | | | | | | |
| Property Detail: | Veolia Environmental Services (Australia) Pty Ltd Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal 34-36 Mcpherson St BANKSMEADOW NSW 2019 | | | | | | | | | |
| Service Details: | A routine pest control service to offices, staff room, shed, compactor and external area for cockroaches, ants, spiders and rodents. Inspected and treated internal areas including offices, laundry, staff room, lunch room and toilets by using Goliath cockroach gel and Coopex dust spot spray. Inspected and treated all other areas including shed area, pitt area and external areas by using Cislin 25 spray and Roban rodent bait. | | | | | | | | | |



NSW Annual Environmental Management Report

Issue Date: 28/06/2023

Appendix E - Complaints register

| Date | Time | Method | Person Details | Nature of the Complaint | Action taken by Veolia | Follow-up contact | Further Action taken by Veolia |
|----------|-----------|------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | (if not, then explanation why added to commentary) |
| 10/05/22 | 09-20 | Emoil | Nick Brazil (IXOM) | Odour Complaint - | Odour Details: rubbish smell Wind speed at the time of complaint: 10km/h | Veolia's train service (Pacific National) was involved in a major incident (rail collision and derailment) causing 2 missed full services and managing with accumulation of waste on site as a security | By the 23rd May, operations returned to normal with all backlogged waste cleared from the waste shed and IXOM notified. |
| 19/05/22 | 06.20 | EIIIdii | Thek.brazil@ixoffl.com | Strong rubbish shieli | Odour Detaile: rubbish amall | | |
| 16/08/22 | 10:30 | Email | Benedick Pagarigan (IXOM) | Odour Complaint - rubbish smell | Wind speed at the time of complaint: 6.7km/h Wind direction at time of complaint: South West | Responsed to Bennedick to explain Veolia is in the process of pumping out our leachate sludge, which is a process undertaken every 2-3 years. | Sludge was vacuum sealed and disposed the following days. No further issues at this time. |
| 02/11/22 | Unknown | EPA Report | EPA | Odour Complaint - rubbish smell | Reported to Veolia via the EPA on 29 November 2022. Unkwown who made the notification to the EPA. Complaint made in the afternoon, however exact time was not disclosed to Veolia. Odour described as 'garbage odour'. Wind speed was reported to be 1.2km/dr ur'. Nind speed was | EPA conducted site inspection on 29 November 2022 to inform Veolia of odour complaints and investigate. All information requested by the EPA was provided. EPA follow up email on 29 | The EPA was satisified with the information provided. |
| 04/11/22 | 08:45 | EPA Report | EPA | Odour Complaint - rubbish smell | Reported to Veolia via the EPA. Unkwown who made the notification to the EPA. Odour was described as a 'garbage odour' | November provided details of the complaint. | |
| 13/12/22 | 14:00 | EPA Report | Benedick Pagarigan (IXOM) benedick.pagarigan@ixom.com | Odour Complaint - rubbish smell | Odour Details: rubbish smell Wind speed at the time of complaint: 12km/h Wind direction at time of complaint: West | IXOM sent 1 email for odour detected on 13th and on the 14th. No unusual operations on site. | Added the report to the complaints register. No further issues at this time. |
| 14/12/22 | 7:30-9:30 | EPA Report | Benedick Pagarigan (IXOM) benedick.pagarigan@ixom.com | Odour Complaint - rubbish smell | Odour Details: rubbish smell Wind direction at time of complaint: West | No unusual operations on site. Responded to Benedick to notify if the odour persists so Veolia can investigate further | Added the report to the complaints register. No further issues at this time. |
| 03/02/23 | 05:00 | Email | Benedick Pagarigan (IXOM) | Odour Complaint - rubbish smell | Odour Details: pungent rubbish smell Wind speed at the time of complaint: 5km/h Wind direction at time of complaint: South West | Advised Benedick that train service provider (Pacific National) informed Veolia that train service has halted due to unexpected track works. As a result, currently there are containers of waste loaded onto the train and sitting on the tracks which could not be transported. | A precautionary notification sent to the EPA and DPE. Veolia doing everything possible to mitigate odour as a result of the temporary changes to operations. Containers were removed by Thursday 9th February. |